

# Baboosic Lake (Pine Knoll Shores) Drainage Study

Final Engineering Report & Conceptual Design

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works

Project number: 60680349

December 15, 2022

#### Prepared for:

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services Watershed Management Bureau 29 Hazen Drive, P.O. Box 95 Concord, NH 03302

#### Prepared by:

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 aecom.com

Copyright © 2022 by AECOM

All rights reserved. No part of this copyrighted work may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of AECOM.

# **Table of Contents**

Execu	tive Summary	. 6				
1.	Introduction	. 7				
1.1.	Site Description	7				
1.2.	Project Objectives & Approach	9				
2.	Data Collection	11				
2.1.	Site Visit	.11				
2.2.	Subdivision Surveys	.11				
2.3.	Other Data Sources	12				
3.	Existing Conditions Evaluation	13				
3.1.	Environmental Setting	13				
3.2.	Existing Conditions Pollutant Loading	14				
4.	Proposed Drainage Improvement Projects	17				
4.1.	Project Development Approach	17				
4.2.	Proposed Stormwater Improvement Projects	17				
4.3.	Pollutant Reduction Summary	22				
4.4.	Stormwater Conveyance	24				
4.5.	Project Implementation and Phasing	25				
4.6.	Permitting Implications	26				
5.	Conclusions	27				
6.	References	28				
7.	Figures	29				
Appen	ndix A – CWSRF Meeting Records	49				
Appen	ndix B – Site Visit Photolog	50				
Appen	ndix C – Conceptual Design Planset	51				
Appen	ndix D – Washoff Model Files & Documentation	52				
Appen	Appendix E – Subdivision Traffic Analysis & Recommendations					
Appen	ndix F – Hydraulics Analysis	54				
Appen	ppendix G - Cost Breakdown					

# Figures

Figure 1-1. Project Locus	30
Figure 3-1. Town Right-of-Way Widths	31
Figure 3-2. Existing Condition Land Cover	32
Figure 3-3. Drainage Basin Delineations	33
Figure 3-4. Existing Condition TSS Washoff	34
Figure 3-5. Existing Condition TP Washoff	35
Figure 3-6. Existing Condition TN Washoff	36
Figure 4-1. Proposed Road Directionality	37
Figure 4-2. Proposed Conveyance Systems	38
Figure 4-3. Tree Box Filter Details	39
Figure 4-4. Proposed Stormwater BMP Placement	40
Figure 4-5. Hydrodynamic Separator Typical Diagram	41
Figure 4-6. Proposed Condition Land Cover	42
Figure 4-7. Proposed Condition TSS Washoff	43
Figure 4-8. Proposed Condition TP Washoff	44
Figure 4-9. Proposed Condition TN Washoff	45
Figure 4-10. Existing Stormwater Outfalls and Contributing Drainage Areas	46
Figure 4-11. Proposed Drainage Network and Contributing Drainage Areas	47
Figure 4-12. Implementation Phasing	48

### Tables

Table 3-1. Land Cover EMCs Used in Washoff Analysis	14
Table 3-2. Existing Condition Pollutant Washoff Model Results	16
Table 4-1. Project Comparison and Summary Matrix	18
Table 4-2. Proposed Condition Pollutant Washoff Model Results	23
Table 4-3. Pollutant Loading Reductions by Stormwater Improvement Projects	24
Table 4-4. Proposed Stormwater Improvement Implementation Phasing	26

### Abbreviations

ac.	Acre
АоТ	Alteration of Terrain
BLA	Baboosic Lake Association
BMP	Stormwater Best Management Practice
C-CAP	Coastal Change Analysis Program
CAD	Computer-Aided Drafting and Design
CEI	Comprehensive Environmental, Incorporated
cfs	cubic feet per second
chl-a	chlorophyll-a
CMP	Corrugated Metal Pipe
Contech	Contech Engineered Systems, inc.
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWSRF	Clean Water State Rotating Fund
CY	Cubic Yard
DPW	Department of Public Works

EMC	Event Mean Concentration
ENSR-LRM	ENSR Lake Loading Response Model
GRANIT	New Hampshire GIS Clearinghouse
Hancock	Hancock Survey Associates, Inc.
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
HSG	Hydrologic Soil Group
in	inches
IUP	Intended Use Plan
К	Thousand
lb/yr	pounds per year
lb/ac/yr	pounds per acre per year
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
Μ	Million
mg/L	milligrams per liter
min/in	minutes per inch
NAVD88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988
NGVD29	National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929
NH	New Hampshire
NH MS4	New Hampshire Municipal Separate Storm Sewer
NHCRHC	New Hampshire Coastal Risks and Hazards Commission
NHDES	New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services
NOAA	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration
NOI	Notice of Intent
NRCC	Northeast Regional Climate Center
NRPC	Nashua Regional Planning Commission
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
PCI	Pavement Condition Inventory
PDF	Portable Document Format
PPL	Project Priority List
RCP	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
ROW	Right-of-Way
Subdivision	Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision
SHWT	Seasonal High Water Table
TBF	Tree Box Filter
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
Town	Town of Merrimack
TN	Total Nitrogen
TP	Total Phosphorous
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WBP	Watershed-Based Plan
WSS	Web Soil Survey
WQV	Water Quality Volume

# **Executive Summary**

The Baboosic Lake (Pine Knoll Shores) Drainage Study was funded through the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) loan program. The study was performed by AECOM in close collaboration with the Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works (DPW) and NHDES.

The 57-acre Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision is located along the northwestern edge of the Town of Merrimack and along the eastern shore of Baboosic Lake. The subdivision includes approximately 142 parcels served mostly by unpaved roads with limited drainage infrastructure. The subdivision is part of the greater Baboosic Lake watershed, which was assessed for total phosphorous impairment (2011) and is listed on the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 303 (d) list of impaired waters. The objective of this study was to propose stormwater conveyance and treatment improvements for the Subdivision that, when implemented, will result in tangible water quality benefits to Baboosic Lake. The project approach included three key elements:

- Existing Conditions Assessment: A detailed review of Subdivision's current roadway and drainage infrastructure, land use and soil characteristics was conducted, alongside a topographic survey. A water quality washoff analysis was performed to characterize pollutant loading of total suspended solids (TSS), total phosphorus (TP), and total nitrogen (TN) in the stormwater runoff discharge from the subdivision. The assessment identified sources of pollutants within the Subdivision and provided basis to formulating design concepts.
- 2) Alternatives Evaluation: Several potential design alternatives for the subdivision were evaluated, including drainage improvement, road cover rehabilitation, and stormwater runoff treatment. The footprint of all implementations considered were limited to the Town's right-of-way or parcels owned by the Town. Each alternative was evaluated for factors including pollutant reduction, abutter impact, construction cost, long-term operation and maintenance needs, traffic management, permitting, and funding.
- Conceptual Design Development: For the design alternatives selected, a concept-level design plan was developed.

In all, this study identified seven (7) projects summarized in **Section 4** for implementation within the Subdivision. Road cover improvement through roadway paving is expected to achieve the most reductions in loading of TSS, TP, and TN. Tree box filters, vegetated swales, and hydrodynamic separators provide localized water quality treatment in space-tight areas. In addition to these right-of-way implementations, three regional treatment practices were recommended in down-gradient Town-owned parcels located on Miriam Road, Mayhew Road, and Richard Road. These regional practices employ several treatment techniques including stormwater wetlands and bioretention cells (rain gardens). The Miriam Road stormwater treatment area and interactive public park will serve as a demonstration project to promote public education and outreach for stormwater management.

The study included an approximate cost estimate for the recommended projects with implementation strategy and phasing logistics based on input from DPW and NHDES.

# **1. Introduction**

This report outlines the assessments and results of a drainage study performed for the Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision (the Subdivision) along the banks of Baboosic Lake in the Town of Merrimack, New Hampshire. The goal of this study is to propose stormwater conveyance and treatment improvements for the Subdivision that, when implemented, will result in tangible water quality benefits to Baboosic Lake. The Subdivision roads included in this project are Longa Road, Mayhew Road, Carter Road, Miriam Road, Richards Road, Shore Drive, Thomas Road, Donald Road, and Arnold Road. The study included an assessment of current conditions to establish the baseline pollutant loading rates from the subdivision, and then developed conceptual-level design alternatives to reduce this pollutant loading, including roadway and drainage improvements and stormwater best management practices (BMPs). These recommendations were developed to reduce the loading of total phosphorous and other pollutants to Baboosic Lake, which is a nutrient-impaired waterbody.

This Project was funded through the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) loan program.

# **1.1. Site Description**

The Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision is located along the northwestern edge of the Town of Merrimack, on the eastern shore of Baboosic Lake. The subdivision was created in 1930, initially developed as a series of camps along the edge of Baboosic Lake. The subdivision consists of approximately 142 parcels that are used as primary or secondary residences (Geosyntec Consultants, 2008). There are currently 3 vacant town-owned parcels located on Mariam Road, Mayhew Road, and Richards Road. A locus map for the subdivision is included in **Figure 1-1**. The Figure also shows two stormwater outfalls in the Town's NH MS4 program (New Hampshire Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System). Drinking water for the subdivision is provided by a public water utility, but the entire Subdivision relies on septic systems for waste disposal. **Figure 1-1** also shows the boundary of the Pine Knoll Shore Drainage Basin, which is part of the Baboosic Lake TMDL watershed defined in the 2011 Baboosic Lake TMDL report.

Access to the majority of parcels within the Subdivision is provided by unpaved road surfaces with limited drainage infrastructure. Carter Road, Shore Drive, and the western portion of Longa Road are the only roadways that are paved. Drainage infrastructure in the Subdivision is limited to two small stormwater drainage networks serving the western third of the subdivision and discharging to Baboosic Lake at the end of Carter Road and in the center of Shore Drive. The Carter Road outfall is included in the Town's NH MS4 permit. The Town owns several properties within the Subdivision that are included for consideration of stormwater management infrastructure placement as part of this study.

Baboosic Lake is a 230-acre waterbody spanning the border between the Towns of Amherst and Merrimack, New Hampshire (NH). The lake is located within the Merrimack River Basin and has a total watershed of approximately 1,670 acres. Baboosic Lake has a standing TMDL for total phosphorous (AECOM, 2011). In addition, the lake is home to several public and private beaches and is listed as impaired pH for the designated use of primary contact recreation on the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies (see **Section 1.2.1** for details). Previous Studies

# 1.1.1. 2008 Watershed-Based Plan

In 2008, NHDES and Geosyntec Consultants developed a Watershed-Based Plan (WBP) for Baboosic Lake following the lake's inclusion in the *Draft 2008 List of Threatened or Impaired Waters that Require a TMDL*. The WBP sought to quantify sources of total phosphorous (TP) loading to the lake using land-use based washoff modeling, and to develop a management plan to reduce TP loading to the lake (Geosyntec Consultants, 2008).

The washoff analysis used in the WBP was based on land use data provided by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Coastal Change Analysis Program (C-CAP) and land-use TP export coefficients derived from the New Hampshire Geographic Information System (GIS) Clearinghouse (GRANIT). The sub-watersheds used in the WBP analysis were comparable to the watershed delineations to the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) analysis for Baboosic Lake (see **Section 1.2.2**). The WBP washoff analysis determined that the 256-acre watershed containing the Pine Knoll Shores subdivision contributed approximately 64 pounds per year (lb/yr) of

TP. Further, the analysis determined that overland stormwater pollutant washoff is responsible for about 46% of the total TP loading to the lake, with septic systems and groundwater responsible for about 43% of the total loading. The remaining TP is contributed by atmospheric deposition (Geosyntec Consultants, 2008).

The WBP identified several different management options to reduce TP loading to the lake, including stormwater management, road surface improvement, septic system improvement and centralization, fertilizer use reductions, and agricultural runoff management. The stormwater management and road surface improvement options presented included soil stabilization, unpaved road management, stormwater BMP installation, and conveyance improvements. These general practices were followed by 17 site-specific implementable projects across the Baboosic Lake watershed, two of which were located within the Pine Knoll Shores subdivision:

- 1. Site #14: Recommended the installation of stormwater treatment and conveyance BMPs (vegetated swale and stormwater basin) along the unpaved stretch of Carter Road between Shore Drive and Baboosic Lake, as well as channel stabilization improvements downstream of the Carter Road Outfall.
- 2. Site #16: Recommended the installation of a prefabricated bioretention cell upstream of the Shore Drive outfall, followed by the installation of a stabilized stormwater drainage channel connecting the shore drive outfall to Baboosic Lake.

Since the publication of the WBP, both projects have been implemented to some extent. The unpaved section of Carter Road was stabilized by the abutting homeowners, and riprap-lined drainage channels were installed to provide stabilized roadside conveyance. No treatment BMPs were installed, nor was stabilization work conducted downstream of the outfall. At the Shore Drive outfall, a pocket bioretention cell was installed at the location indicated by the WBP (see **Section 1.2.3**), but no improvements were made to improve the conveyance from the outfall to the lake.

# 1.1.2. 2011 Total Maximum Daily Load Assessment

In January of 2011, AECOM (formerly ENSR Corporation) published a TMDL analysis in partnership with the NHDES for Baboosic Lake. Per the TMDL report, Baboosic Lake was included on the 2006 and 2008 Section 303(d) lists of the CWA "due to the impairment of primary contact recreation caused by high chlorophyll a (chl a) concentrations and the presence of hepatotoxic cyanobacteria. High levels of chl a and hepatotoxic cyanobacteria are indicative of nutrient enrichment." (AECOM, 2011) As phosphorous is the primary limiting nutrient in northern lake systems, TP was identified as the likely cause of eutrophication and the subject of the Baboosic Lake TMDL. The goals of the TMDL analysis included quantifying the existing loading and sources of TP contribution to the lake, estimation of the lake's TP loading capacity, and suggestion of elements to be included in plans for the implementation of the TMDL (AECOM, 2011).

The modeling conducted in support of the TMDL analysis utilized the ENSR Lake Loading Response Model (ENSR-LRM), a portion of which includes a land use-based washoff model to determine the TP loading contributed from watershed washoff sources. Using this method, the 238-acre watershed containing the Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision was determined contribute 38.1 lb/yr of TP. Further, the TMDL modeling determined that overland stormwater pollutant washoff is responsible for the majority (69%) of the total TP loading to the lake, with septic systems and groundwater responsible for about 14% of the total loading. The remaining TP is contributed by atmospheric deposition, internal loading, and waterfowl (AECOM, 2011).

To meet the maximum nutrient loading needed to allow primary contact recreation in Baboosic Lake, the TMDL analysis recommended a reduction of 44% be made to all watershed contributions of TP. To meet this target reduction, the TMDL report pointed to the WBP published in 2008 (**Section 1.2.1**); which proposed specific septic and stormwater improvement projects to be implemented across the Baboosic Lake watershed. As of publication of the TMDL, several of these projects had been implemented and more were under way (AECOM, 2011).

### 1.1.3. 2012 Pine Knoll Shores Stormwater Improvements

As part of the implementation of the 2008 WBP, Comprehensive Environmental Inc. (CEI) and the Baboosic Lake Association (BLA) designed and installed several retrofit BMPs within the Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision in the Town of Merrimack. These implementations were funded through the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) / NHDES Watershed Assistance Grant Program and were constructed in 2012. These retrofits included the following BMP retrofits (CEI, 2011):

- A vegetated channel and riprap-lined plunge pool at the southeastern corner of the intersection between Longa Road and Rennie Road, accepting roadway, culverted, and channelized runoff and discharging to a culvert crossing Rennie Road.
- A vegetated channel and riprap-lined plunge pool at the northeastern corner of the intersection between Miriam Road and Arnold Road, accepting roadway runoff and discharging to a roadside ditch.
- A bioretention cell between 20 Shore Drive and 22 Shore Drive; accepting roadway runoff and discharging to forests downgradient.
- A bioretention cell between 18 Shore Drive and 20 Shore Drive; accepting roadway and culverted runoff and immediately discharging to the Shore Drive stormwater outfall.
- A bioretention cell at the northwestern corner of Carter Road and Arnold Road, accepting roadway runoff and discharging to a culvert crossing Arnold Road.

During field visits to the Subdivision conducted by AECOM as part of this drainage study (see **Section 2.1**), the stormwater BMPs implemented by CEI and the BLA were no longer in place; with the exception of the bioretention cell at the Shore Drive outfall. Based on discussions with the Town, the party responsible for the operation and maintenance (O&M) for these implementations is unknown, and the BMPs appear to have silted in over time.

# 1.1.4. 2014 Watershed-Based Plan Update

In 2014, the NHDES and the Nashua Regional Planning Commission (NRPC) issued an update to the 2008 WBP to summarize activities, data, and reports that had been developed in the five years following the initial publication of the WBP. Over that time period, stormwater and septic projects were implemented by the BLA and the Towns of Merrimack and Amherst such that approximately 40 pounds of annual TP loading were claimed to be reduced. However, the lake remained impaired and an additional 20 pounds of annual TP loading needed to be reduced to meet the goals of the WBP (NRPC, 2014).

The WBP update also provided a status update of the projects recommended in the 2008 WBP, including which had been completed and which had yet to be implemented. Of the 17 projects listed in the WBP, only four remained outstanding – including the stormwater improvements at Site 14 (Carter Road) (NRPC, 2014).

To provide further TP reductions, the WBP recommended several additional steps that could be implemented by the Town of Merrimack, including the implementation of targeted street sweeping, catch basin cleaning, and the reduction of fertilizer use on municipal land (NRPC, 2014)

# 1.2. Project Objectives & Approach

This drainage study was conducted to develop stormwater management design concepts with the goal of reducing the loading of total phosphorus loading to Baboosic Lake from the Subdivision. By comparing to the base-line existing condition pollutant loading rates, this study will demonstrate the expected pollutant reductions that can be achieved through the implementation of a series of stormwater management and drainage improvement measures tailored to the Subdivision.

# 1.2.1. Project Approach

A three-step approach was taken to meet the project objectives listed above:

- 1. **Existing Conditions Assessment:** An evaluation was first conducted of the Subdivision's current roadway and drainage infrastructure, land use, and soil characteristics to determine the baseline stormwater runoff and pollutant loading from the Subdivision. A topographic, right-of-way (ROW), and ground features survey was conducted, and Town data on soil characteristics, roadway quality, and land use were aggregated. This assessment identified sources of pollutants within the Subdivision and a provided basis to formulating design alternatives.
- 2. Alternatives Evaluations: With the existing Subdivision conditions defined, AECOM began evaluating potential options for drainage improvement, road cover rehabilitation, and stormwater treatment practices. Development

of these design alternatives considered many factors, including ROW width, traffic management, pollutant reductions, abutter impact, long-term maintenance needs, implementation cost, and grant eligibility.

3. **Conceptual Design Development:** Through an iterative process with the Town and NHDES, specific implementations for roadway improvements and stormwater treatment were selected and grouped into four implementation phases for ease of management by the Town. Conceptual level design plans were assembled along with preliminary estimate of construction cost.

# **1.2.2.** Project Funding and Grant Requirements

This study was funded by a CWSRF Stormwater Planning Loan as part of the NHDES Clean Water State Revolving Fund program, included in the 2020 CWSRF Intended Use Plan (IUP) and Project Priority List (PPL). To fulfill the CWSRF loan requirements, the joint project team of Town DPW, NHDES, and AECOM held a project kick-off meeting, mid-level meetings, and a wrap-up meeting to communicate progress and solicitate input. Agendas, minutes, and attendance logs from these meetings are included in this report as **Appendix A**.

# 2. Data Collection

Prior to beginning the existing conditions evaluation, AECOM conducted an extensive data collection effort to collect develop a baseline for the rest of the drainage study. This section provides an overview of the efforts undertaken and the data that was gathered from these efforts.

# 2.1. Site Visit

On April 13, 2022, AECOM engineers visited the Subdivision and walked the site alongside representatives from the Town Department of Public Works (DPW) and Hancock Survey Associates, Inc. (Hancock), the contractor selected to conduct the topographic and feature survey. The area had received rainfall in the 48 hours preceding the site visit, and overland flow paths, recent incisions, and patterns of sediment movement were evident in the roads, swales, and catchments of the Subdivision.

During the site visit, AECOM confirmed the presence of existing drainage infrastructure against the stormwater survey conducted in 2001 for the Merrimack Village District (Meridian Land Services, Inc., 2001). The impact of runoff from the unpaved road surfaces was clearly observed to wash off sediments towards the Lake. Multiple catch basins were fully silted in with sand and debris and some roadside swales had silted in up to or beyond the crown elevation of the inlet and outlet pipes. The retrofit BMPs installed in 2012 (**Section 1.2.3**) did not appear to be functional. Several were partially or completely silted in, and others were unable to be located in the field.

Three Town-owned parcels (see **Figure 1-1**) were also assessed during the site visit to evaluate ground conditions for potential siting of regional BMPs:

- 12 Mayhew Road (Lot no. 6A2-110) is forested with little underbrush and had some residual ponding or standing water left over from recent rain events. Evidence of sediment-laden runoff entering the site from Mayhew Road was apparent.
- 5 Richards Road (Lot no. 6A2-145) is generally covered by dense brush and trees. The ground conditions were dry at the time of the visit.
- 18 Miriam Road (Lot no. 6A2-58), the largest Town-owned lot in the Subdivision, is characterized by a relatively
  flat, upland clearing on its eastern end; a steep hillslope in the center of the parcel; and a gently sloping forested
  lowland area on its western end. Remnants of a wellhead or septic system were visible in the upland clearing.
  Standing water and evidence of sediment-laden stormwater runoff entering the site from Miriam Road were
  observed on the eastern lowland area.

A photolog documenting AECOM's April site visit is included in this report as Appendix B.

# 2.2. Subdivision Surveys

The subdivision surveys described in this section were used to develop the existing conditions basemap, presented in Sheets V-1 through V-7 of **Appendix C**.

# 2.2.1. 2001 Merrimack Village District Survey

During the initial phases of data collection for this study, the Town provided records of a topographic and utility survey conducted in 2001 by Meridian Land Services, Inc. (Meridian) for the Merrimack Village District in support of the installation of a water main and distribution system through the Subdivision. The survey included topographic contours, drainage system features and elevations, overhead electric power lines, parcel limits, and the proposed water main alignments. The survey was bounded within the limits of the Town ROW. The 2001 survey did not include Thomas Road or the eastern portions of Miriam and Mayhew roads. The vertical datum for the 2001 survey was the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29).

# 2.2.2. Project Survey

In support of this drainage study, a topographic, ROW, and drainage network survey of the Subdivision was performed by Hancock between June and July of 2022. The topographic coverage developed by the project survey was developed using the NH State Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) topographic dataset and was ground-truthed to field measurements. Parcels, parcel owners, and the limits of the Town ROW were referenced from available GIS datasets. The edge and type of road covers were surveyed for all Subdivision roads, including centerline profiles and bank elevations of roadside ditches (where present). Finally, the rim elevations of stormwater drainage structures in the Subdivision were field measured to calculate connecting pipe inverts based on the offsets shown in the 2001 Meridian survey where available. Stormwater structures that were not included in the 2001 survey were opened and all inverts were measured.

All elevations were recorded in the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88).

# 2.3. Other Data Sources

In addition to the site visit and survey collection, the following datasets and sources were referenced in support of this drainage study:

- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Web Soils Survey (WSS) coverage of the Subdivision
- Test pit and soil infiltration data from historic Town septic system permitting records (Sheets V-1 through V-7 of Appendix C)
- Building footprint, driveway footprint, and waterbody datasets from the Town GIS database
- New Hampshire aerial imagery from the GRANIT GIS Repository
- Interviews and field reconnaissance conducted by Town Staff on abutter impacts regarding the Shore Drive
   outfall
- Town DPW 2021 Pavement Condition Inventory (PCI)

# **3. Existing Conditions Evaluation**

To provide a baseline for the drainage improvements proposed in this study, an evaluation of the Subdivision's existing hydrology, land use, and washoff characteristics was first conducted. This section provides a summary of the methodologies undertaken and the results of these assessments.

# 3.1. Environmental Setting

# 3.1.1. Roadway and Right-of-Way

As mentioned in **Section 1.1**, all roads within the Subdivision with the exception of Carter Road, Shore Drive, and a portion of Longa Road are unpaved. During the site visit conducted in April 2022, some gravel roads were noticeably eroding, contributing to silted-in catch basins and swales as well as gullies forming through other roads. In the Town's 2021 PCI, the pavement condition for the existing asphalt cover of Carter Road and the northern portion of Shore Drive was identified as "poor"; the paved portion of Longa as "fair", and the southern portion of Shore as "good".

The widths of the Town ROW along the roadways varies across the Subdivision, and are shown in **Figure 3-1**. Carter Road and Shore Drive, each have 40-foot ROWs. Miriam, Thomas, and Mayhew Rads, as well as the eastern portion of Longa Road all have 30-foot ROWs. Rennie Road has a 25-foor ROW, and all other roads have a 20-foot ROW.

# 3.1.2. Stormwater Drainage Network

Elevation, material, and alignment data for the Subdivision's existing stormwater network were included in the project survey conducted by Hancock. The existing stormwater collection and conveyance system is generally limited to the extent of the paved portions of Cater Road, Longa Road, and Shore Drive, augmented by a small number of roadside ditches and culverts serving the remainder of the Subdivision. The existing conveyance system consists of 12-inch (in.) to 18-in. diameter reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) and corrugated metal pipe (CMP), generally with two to three feet of cover atop the conduit. During the site visit conducted in April 2022, DPW staff indicated that the existing drainage system has been in place for several decades without major revision or modification and requires frequent maintenance to remove sediment from the structures. The existing drainage system alignment is shown on Sheets V-1 through V-7 of **Appendix C**.

As discussed in **Section 1.1**, the Subdivision has two stormwater outfalls that drain to Baboosic Lake. The first, located at the end of Carter Road, is a 12" CMP that discharges to a three-foot-wide drainage ditch flowing downslope into the Lake. This outfall is included within the in the Town's NH MS4 regulated area and is located within a dedicated drainage easement held by the Town. The second outfall is a short length of 12" CMP that discharges into a small asphalt-lined swale running alongside the driveway of 20 Shore Drive. Flow from the outfall follows the swale down the driveway and over a section of grassed lawn before discharging to the lake. This outfall is identified by the homeowner as a source of frequent nuisance flooding. The asphalt swale is located on a privately-held easement over which the Town caries no access agreement or ownership. The Shore Drive outfall is not located within the Town's NH MS4 regulated area.

# 3.1.3. Soils & Land Cover

The USDA WSS coverage characterizes all soils within the 57-acre subdivision as Canton Fine Sandy Loam, very stony; classified as Hydrologic Soils Group (HSG) Type B. HSGs are determined for each soil type based on estimates of runoff potential, with group B representing soils with a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet; typically including deeper, well-drained soils with a moderately fine to moderately coarse texture.

The construction of stormwater BMPs, especially those that involve infiltration or require standing water, require sitespecific soil investigation (through the use of infiltration testing or test pitting) following the procedures listed in the New Hampshire Stormwater Manual (NHDES, 2008). To support the conceptual BMP development, Town soils data from past septic system permit applications at multiple locations across the subdivision was used to provide a screening-level assessment of subsurface soil properties. These test results included data on soil type and characteristics, depth to the seasonal high water table (SHWT) groundwater, and infiltration rate (in minutes per inch). While the entire Subdivision is characterized by HSG Type B soils, the site-specific soils data varied significantly from area to area. The depth to SHWT varied between 5 and 144 inches with an average of about 36 inches. The measured infiltration rate varied between 2 and 61 minutes per inch, with an average of about 12 minutes per inch.

A land cover dataset was developed for the subdivision using Town GIS data, surveyed roadway limits and surface type, and aerial imagery. This dataset includes forest, lawn, building, driveways, paved, and unpaved road land cover classifications. The existing conditions land cover is shown in **Figure 3-2**.

# 3.1.4. Drainage Basin Delineation

Drainage basin delineation was performed using the topographic and stormwater conveyance data collected by Hancock. The 57-acre Subdivision was delineated into 13 sub-catchments, shown in **Figure 3-3**.

# 3.2. Existing Conditions Pollutant Loading

Land-use based water quality modeling was performed to establish the baseline pollutant loading rates from the Subdivision under existing condition. This method of pollutant loading analysis is commonly referred to as "washoff modeling", which was used in both the 2008 WBP and the 2011 TMDL for Baboosic Lake. This analysis provided the basis for the stormwater drainage improvement recommendations described later in this study.

# 3.2.1. Washoff Model Selection

Pollutant washoff modeling assumes that during a given storm event, the concentration of pollutants in the stormwater runoff (referred to as event mean concentration, or EMC) is a function of the land uses or cover types that make up a given catchment. For instance, runoff from manicured lawns tend to contribute high levels of nutrients (from excess fertilizer), while industrial areas tend to contribute high levels of heavy metals (from machinery, gas combustion, etc). Many different methods for washoff modeling are available, each of which uses a slightly different ensemble of land use classifications and corresponding EMCs. The 2008 WBP, 2011 TMDL, and the New Hampshire MS4 permit all utilize different washoff models to predict pollutant loading based on watershed land use characteristics.

This drainage study employs the New Hampshire Simple method described in Volume 1 of the New Hampshire Stormwater Manual (NHDES, 2008). The Simple method produces estimates for three common urban runoff pollutants: total suspended solids (TSS), total nitrogen (TN), and TP. A spreadsheet version of the Simple method is published by the NHDES for use in land development applications and includes EMCs for land cover types included in the Subdivision land cover dataset except for gravel roads. As sediment runoff has been identified as a major nuisance issue for existing Subdivision, the model spreadsheet was updated to include EMCs for gravel roads. Here, the EMC for TSS was adopted from literature values for sediment washoff from gravel logging roads, while the TP and TN EMCs were assumed to match those for paved residential roads (Sheridan & Noske, 2007). **Table 3-1** below presents the EMCs applied for each pollutant and land cover type in the washoff analysis for this study, the extents of which are indicated on **Figure 3-3**.

	Simple Model Event Mean Concentration, mg/L				
Land Cover Type	TSS	ТР	TN		
Residential Roof	19	0.11	1.50		
Residential Street (Paved)	172	0.55	1.40		
Residential Street (Unpaved)	496	0.55	1.40		
Lawns	80	2.10	9.10		
Driveways	173	0.56	2.10		
Forest/Rural Open	51	0.11	1.74		

#### Table 3-1. Land Cover EMCs Used in Washoff Analysis

# 3.2.2. Pollutant Washoff Analysis Results

The results of the existing conditions pollutant washoff modeling conducted for this study are presented by subbasin in **Figures 3-4, 3-5, and 3-6** for TSS, TP, and TN; respectively. In these figures, each subbasin is color-shaded based on area-normalized pollutant loading rate. The results by subbasin are also presented in **Table 3-2**. Washoff model spreadsheet printouts are provided as **Appendix D** of this report.

The washoff rates reflected in **Figures 3-4 through 3-6** emphasize the loading "hot spots" in the Subdivision for each pollutant. Suspended solids are contributed most heavily by the Miriam, Mayhew, Rennie, and Longa subbasins due to the unpaved roads that serve these portions of the Subdivision. This was confirmed by observations made during the April 2022 site visit, in which sediment-laden runoff streams were evident downstream of these roads. The nutrient pollutants (TN and TP) are contributed most heavily by the Carter, Arnold, Mayhew and Miriam subbasins due to the higher proportion of landscaping and lawns (typically subjected to homeowner fertilizer use).

The washoff analysis predicted that the Pine Knoll Shore drainage basin contributes approximately 26 pounds of TP to Baboosic Lake per year. This prediction is reasonable when compared to the TP loading rates of 38.1 lb/yr estimated in the 2011 TMDL study and 64 lb/yr estimated in the 2008 WBP for a 240-acre watershed that included the Subdivision. The 57-acre Pine Knoll Shores drainage basin accounts for about a quarter of that larger watershed but about half of the population. Pine Knoll Shores is a densely populated portion of the larger TMDL watershed; and as TP is primarily generated by man-made source such as septic systems, fertilizer, and pet waste, the results of this drainage study are in line with the previous studies conducted for Baboosic Lake.

#### Table 3-2. Existing Condition Pollutant Washoff Model Results

Drainage Basin	Size, Ac.	TSS Pollutant	TSS Pollutant Washoff Loading		Washoff Loading	TN Pollutant Washoff Loading		
		Total, lb/yr	Area-Normalized, lb/ac/yr	Total, lb/yr	Area-Normalized, lb/ac/yr	Total, lb/yr	Area-Normalized, lb/ac/yr	
Arnold	2.57	397	155	0.9	0.35	6.3	2.44	
Carter-East	3.69	650	176	2.6	0.69	12.6	3.40	
Carter-West	1.35	440	325	1.4	1.06	6.3	4.63	
Lake-North	12.27	2,064	168	4.3	0.35	28.6	2.33	
Lake-South	11.20	1,381	123	3.7	0.33	25.2	2.25	
Longa	3.67	1,701	464	2.4	0.65	10.2	2.77	
Mayhew-East	1.72	841	489	1.3	0.76	5.4	3.16	
Mayhew-West	0.65	536	831	0.6	0.96	2.0	3.16	
Miriam	2.22	1,579	710	2.2	1.01	8.6	3.88	
Rennie-North	0.36	224	623	0.3	0.92	1.4	3.78	
Rennie-South	1.49	528	355	0.8	0.52	3.9	2.65	
Richards	9.54	679	71	1.6	0.17	12.2	1.28	
Shore	6.28	1,469	234	3.7	0.59	18.3	2.92	
Total	57.01	12,489	219	25.9	0.45	141.04	2.47	

# 4. Proposed Drainage Improvement Projects

The purpose of this drainage study is to propose stormwater and infrastructure improvements that will reduce pollutant loading to Baboosic Lake and improve the roadway and drainage infrastructure serving the Subdivision. This section recommends specific projects to meet these goals driven by the potential pollutant loading reductions. Project cost and implementation considerations are also discussed based on discussions with the Town and NHDES. The projects proposed here will help the Town meet its requirements under the NH MS4 permit and reduce the phosphorous loading to Baboosic Lake from the Subdivision.

# 4.1. Project Development Approach

The selection of stormwater and roadway improvement projects were driven primarily by the potential for pollutant loading reductions. As all proposed projects must be sited within existing ROW or on Town-owned land, BMP sizing is based on the principle of achieving the maximum benefits practicable rather than meeting specific development design requirements. As shown in the existing condition washoff analysis, unpaved roadways in the Subdivision currently contribute significant TSS loading to Baboosic Lake. Based on this analysis and in consultation with DPW, it is agreed that the paving of subdivision roads combined with drainage infrastructure improvements will yield significant pollutant reductions while improving the quality of life for Subdivision residents. Siting stormwater BMPs within municipal ROWs can be challenging due to space limitations, and the amount of treatment feasible in these small footprints. The vacant town-owned parcels on Richard Road, Mayhew Road, and Miriam Road offer opportunities for regional-scale BMPs that can treat stormwater runoff from larger contributing drainage areas. While the Town owns several other parcels within the subdivision, these were not selected for consideration due to their location or size relative to stormwater conveyance lines or the contributing drainage area. Therefore, this study recommends a combination of both localized and regional BMPs to maximize the use of limited ROW space while taking advantage of the larger Town parcels for larger, cost-effective implementations. The installation of these BMPs can "piggyback" onto the construction of roadway and drainage improvements to minimize cost and mobilizations required for construction. Other factors considered in project development included abutter impacts, funding mechanisms, external funding eligibility, costs of construction, operation and maintenance, and traffic safety.

In short, the conceptual design for Subdivision stormwater improvements followed a three-step approach:

- 1. Pave the older and unpaved roadways of the subdivision.
- 2. Improve stormwater drainage infrastructure to drain the newly paved surfaces.
- 3. Treat the stormwater runoff from the Subdivision to the extent practicable to reduce pollutant loading to Baboosic Lake.

In coordination with the Town DPW and the NHDES, this approach was used to develop the stormwater improvement projects described in **Section 4.2**. Potential pollutant loading reductions for each proposed project is discussed in **Sections 4.3**, and proposed project implementation phasing is discussed in **Section 4.4**.

# 4.2. Proposed Stormwater Improvement Projects

Six individual stormwater improvement projects are proposed for the Subdivision in this drainage study. As described in **Section 4.1**, the development of these projects was primarily driven by pollutant loading reduction potentials and the availability of Town-owned land. The preliminary design concepts for each proposed project are shown in Conceptual Design Package, included in this report as Sheets C-1 through C-7 of **Appendix C**. The stormwater treatment BMPs proposed here should be designed per the guidelines listed in Volume 2 of the New Hampshire Stormwater Manual (NHDES, 2008) to the extent practicable. These six projects are:

Roadway paving and drainage improvement

- Tree box filters and pocket bioretention cells
- Hydrodynamic Separators
- Bioretention cell at 5 Richards Road
- Stormwater Wetlands at 12 Mayhew Road
- Stormwater Park at 18 Miriam Road

Table 4-1 below qualitatively compares the key attributes of the six projects listed above.

Project	Polluta	ollutant Removal		Cost	Abutter	Community	Maintenance	External Funding
	TSS	TP	ΤN		impact	Denent		Englority
Roadway Paving & Drainage Improvements	•	-	-	•	-	•	•	0
Tree Box Filters	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Hydrodynamic Separators	•	x	x	-	•	0	-	•
Bioretention at 5 Richard Road	0	0	0	-	•	0	0	•
Stormwater Wetlands at 12 Mayhew Road	•	-	•	-	0	0	0	•
Stormwater Park at 18 Miriam Road	•	•	•	0	0	•	-	•
KEY: Excellent	Very Go	od C	Good		Fair	Poor	NA	1
			$\cap$		_		X	

#### Table 4-1. Project Comparison and Summary Matrix

Potential funding sources for these projects may include the Town's Capital Improvement Fund, NHDES Section 319 Watershed Assistance grants, NHDES Section 604(b) Water Quality Planning grants, CWSRF Stormwater Construction loans, or other grant opportunities (e.g. the Mooseplate grant program).

### 4.2.1. Roadway Paving and Drainage Improvement

#### **Description:**

The subdivision's unpaved roads contribute a substantial amount of sediment to Baboosic Lake. Further, the majority of the Subdivision's paved roads (limited to Carter Road, Shore Drive, and the western portion of Longa Road) were classified as "poor condition" in the Town's 2021 PCI survey. To reduce pollutant loading, AECOM is recommending all unpaved roadways through the subdivision be paved and all paved roadways be re-constructed. The paving of these roads will also provide a guality-of-life improvement to the residents in the Subdivision.

As part of the pavement design for the Subdivision, the Town requested that AECOM include traffic safety and sight distance considerations into the consideration of restricting certain roads within the Subdivision to be one-way streets. Per Town specifications, new one-way roads have a minimum paved width of 24 feet, while new one-way roads have a minimum paved width of 16 feet. To improve traffic safety and optimize the flow of traffic through the subdivision, a preliminary roadway directionality alignment (including the use of one-way roads) is proposed, as shown in **Figure 4-1**. New traffic signage, including one-way indicators, turn restrictions, and stop signs, will be installed. A traffic assessment was conducted to support these recommendations, and is attached to this study as **Appendix E**.

As part of the proposed roadway improvements, stormwater conveyance infrastructure will be installed to carry runoff from the improved roads. To control abutter impact, subsurface drainage or grass-lined swales are proposed depending on the ROW width and yard frontage for each street. Additionally, grass-lined swales (where appropriate) can be sized to provide stormwater treatment through settling and filtration. Proposed stormwater conveyance implementations are shown in **Figure 4-2**. The proposed stormwater alignment for the new drainage system is further discussed in **Section 4.4.** It should be noted that both roadway surface improvements and the installation of vegetated swales were consistent with recommendations in the 2008 WBP. To maintain compliance with the Town's NH MS4 coverage, the final storm sewer system will be incorporated into the Town's storm drain mapping once completed.

#### **Pollutant Reductions:**

Roadway paving will reduce the sediment loading contributed by the current unpaved roadways by nearly a factor of three (see **Table 3-1**). Slight increases in nutrient contribution are expected due to the increased roadway footprint, but this loading increase will be mitigated by other stormwater treatment measures proposed in this study.

Vegetated swales are capable of removing TSS, TP, and TN from stormwater runoff at removal rates of 81%, 9%, and 38%, respectively (USEPA, 1999).

#### Costs:

Assuming Full Box Reconstruction will be utilized for all roads within the Subdivision and utilizing a \$50/SY unit price for this method provided by the Town, the estimated roadway paving for the entire subdivision is approximately \$1.6M. The cost for installation of stormwater drainage system and swales for the entire subdivision is approximately \$703K.

Maintenance for the new roadways and drainages system in the Subdivision will follow the Town's general maintenance program, which includes winter plowing and material placement; spring sweeping; catch basin cleaning; line striping (as needed); patching and preventative maintenance (i.e., crack sealing), and roadside mowing and trimming.

### 4.2.2. Tree Box Filters & Pocket Bioretention Cells

#### **Description:**

Tree box filters (TBFs) are miniature bioretention cells, or rain gardens, built within a precast concrete structure that is connected to the stormwater conveyance network. **Figure 4-3** presents excerpts of plans and photos of a typical TBF unit manufactured by Contech Engineered Systems, Inc. (Contech). TBFs are typically planted with one tree or shrub and provide a low-footprint option for stormwater quality treatment. The units accept runoff from a curb inlet and allow stormwater to percolate through layers of mulch, loamy soil, and sand before either being taken up into the vegetation's root system or exiting through the unit's underdrain into the larger stormwater conveyance system. Each unit is sized to hold the water quality volume (WQV), with flows in exceedance of this volume collected by a catch basin just downstream of the TBF. In the Subdivision, TBFs are proposed along Carter Road, Shore Drive, and at the corner of Mayhew and Rennie Roads, positioned to take advantage of available space within the Town's ROW.

The proposed placement of TBFs within the subdivision is presented on Figure 4-4.

It should be noted that the installation of precast bioretention units was recommended both as a general watershed implementation and a site-specific drainage improvement within the Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision in the 2008 WBP. This implementation would be in line with that initial recommendation.

#### **Pollutant Reductions:**

TBFs are capable of removing TSS, TP, and TN from stormwater runoff at removal rates of 86%, 70%, and 34%, respectively (Contech, 2022).

#### Costs:

TBFs range in price from \$16K to \$19K per unit, including delivery. Each TBF would cost approximately \$10K for installation.

TBFs require maintenance twice per year, and maintenance is limited to the removal of accumulated debris or litter, the removal and replacement of the surficial mulch layer, and plant pruning as required. The annual cost for this

maintenance ranges between \$600 - \$1200 per unit if contracted out but can also be completed easily by Town maintenance staff. Contech anticipates the average lifespan of the trees and shrubs planted in the tree box units is between two and four years.

# 4.2.3. Hydrodynamic Separators

#### **Description:**

Hydrodynamic Separators are manhole treatment units that use the off-center introduction of flow to a structure and internal flow control weirs to induce a rotational vortex within the structure to remove TSS from stormwater runoff. Hydrodynamic separators are manufactured by a number of third-party vendors and can be placed in-line along conveyance systems to provide sediment removal from stormwater runoff. **Figure 4-5** shows a diagram of a typical hydrodynamic separator unit manufactured by Contech. One hydrodynamic separator is proposed for installation at the end of Carter Road as shown in **Figure 4-4**.

#### **Pollutant Reductions:**

Hydrodynamic separators can achieve TSS removal rates of 80% (Contech, 2017).

#### Costs:

Hydrodynamic separators cost approximately \$10K - \$12K per unit including delivery, depending on manufacturer and region. Installation for these structures is on the order of \$5K.

Hydrodynamic separators require annual maintenance that involves removing the accumulated sediment and debris from the structure via vacuum truck. One unit takes 1-2 hours to clean out, and current day-rates for vacuum truck contractors is between \$2K - \$5K per day. This maintenance can be performed by Town staff using Town equipment as part of regular drainage maintenance program.

# 4.2.4. Bioretention Cell (Rain Garden) at 5 Richards Road

#### **Description:**

The Town-owned property at 5 Richards Road is approximately one-eighth of an acre in size. It is currently covered by dense brush and small trees. To provide water quality treatment for runoff coming from Richards Road and connected upstream areas, a bioretention cell is proposed to be constructed on the parcel. Bioretention cells, commonly referred to as rain gardens, filter pollutants from stormwater via percolation through layers of mulch, loamy soil, and sand before either being taken up into the root systems of the bioretention cell vegetation. Depending on the elevation of the SHWT, any remaining stormwater is either infiltrated into the native soils or drained back into the stormwater conveyance system using an underdrain. The bioretention cell will be sited within the parcel with a minimum 10-foot setback from abutting properties. Pretreatment is required through a sediment forebay (or similar) prior to treatment within the bioretention cell.

At 5 Richards Road, pretreatment would be provided through a sediment forebay placed near the roadway where runoff enters the property. Construction of the proposed bioretention cell will involve the clearing of debris, brush, and trees from the existing parcel. A berm will be installed on the parcel's downgradient end to prevent overflow onto the neighboring property. Trees and screening plants can be installed along the berm if desired. Runoff rates exceeding the cell's treatment capacity will be routed back to the conveyance system using grated standpipes (or similar) to control the cell's maximum ponding depth. A conceptual schematic of the proposed BMP is provided on Sheet D-2 of **Appendix C**. Based on nearby historic septic testing data, the SHWT in the area is around 25 inches below ground surface and the infiltration rate into native soils is about 9 minutes per inch (see sheet V-5 of **Appendix C**). These parameters should be field verified during the final design.

It should be noted that the bioretention cells were recommended as a general watershed improvement in the 2008 WBP.

Once installed, the O&M associated with bioretention cells includes biannual inspections and the repair or replacement of treatment area components as needed. Vegetation should be trimmed and diseased vegetation removed as appropriate. Mulch replacement is recommended when erosion of the bioretention cell bed becomes evident. Alkaline product can be applied as needed to counteract soil acidity caused by slightly acidic rainfall and runoff. (USEPA, 1999)

#### **Pollutant Reductions:**

Bioretention cells are capable of removing TSS, TP, and TN from stormwater runoff at removal rates of 95%, 65%, and 65%, respectively (NHDES, 2008).

#### Cost:

A preliminary estimate for the proposed bioretention cell at 5 Richards Road is approximately \$52K. See **Appendix G** for a detailed cost breakdown for this project. O&M costs are expected to be comparable to those of typical landscaping required for a given site, but will vary based on the final design of the system.

## 4.2.5. Stormwater Wetlands at 12 Mayhew Road

#### **Description:**

The Town-owned property at 12 Mayhew Road is just over one-quarter of an acre in size and is currently forested with little underbrush. The parcel is located in a topographic low point, and standing water was observed on the property during the AECOM site visit. To provide water quality treatment for stormwater runoff draining from Mayhew Road, the installation of a pocket stormwater wetland is proposed for the parcel. Stormwater wetlands are constructed impoundments designed to function similar to natural wetlands and consist of pools with various depths to promote settling and native wetland vegetation growth. Pollutant removal is achieved through settling of sediments within the impoundment and nutrient uptake by vegetation. Stormwater wetlands typically require a shallow SHWT or a constant flow of water. The stormwater wetlands will be sited within the parcel with minimum 10-foot setback from abutting properties, and screening vegetation can be planted if desired. Pretreatment is required prior to treatment within the stormwater wetland and would be provided through a pretreatment swale running parallel to the newly paved Mayhew Road. A berm will be installed on the parcel's downgradient end to prevent overflow onto the neighboring property. Runoff exceeding the treatment capacity of the wetland will be routed to the conveyance system using grated standpipes (or similar). A conceptual schematic of the proposed BMP is provided on Sheet D-2 of **Appendix C**.

Based on nearby historic septic testing data, the SHWT in the area is around 25 inches below ground surface and the infiltration rate into native soils is 4-10 minutes per inch (see sheet V-04 of **Appendix C**). These parameters should be field verified during the final design.

Once the stormwater wetlands have been constructed, the planted vegetation must be maintained. Inspections should be conducted twice per year for the first three years and once annually every year thereafter, and include pruning, replacement planting, sediment and debris removal, and invasive species removal (as needed). (USEPA, 1999)

#### **Pollutant Reductions:**

Stormwater wetlands are capable of removing TSS, TP, and TN from stormwater runoff at removal rates of 80%, 55%, and 45%, respectively (NHDES, 2008).

#### Cost:

A preliminary estimate for the proposed stormwater wetland at 12 Mayhew Road is approximately \$52K. See **Appendix G** for a detailed cost breakdown for this project. O&M costs are expected to be comparable to those of typical landscaping required for a given site, but will vary based on the final design of the system.

### 4.2.6. Stormwater Park at 18 Miriam Road

#### **Description:**

The property at 18 Miriam Road is just over one-half of an acre in size and is the largest Town-owned property in the Subdivision. The property consists of a relatively flat, upland clearing on its eastern end; a steep hillslope in the center; and a gently sloping forested lowland area on its western end. Remnants of a wellhead or septic system are present in the upland clearing. Standing water was observed on the western end of the property during the AECOM site visit. To provide water quality treatment for stormwater runoff coming from Miriam Road and to provide an opportunity for community outreach, a multi-functional "Stormwater Park" is proposed on the parcel consisting of bioretention cells, stormwater wetlands, walking trails, and educational signage.

A conceptual schematic of the proposed stormwater park layout is provided on Sheet D-2 of **Appendix C**. In this conceptual design, three bioretention cells would be placed on the upland portion of the site. Runoff would be directed to these cells from the Miriam Road drainage system using flow diversion structures that direct only low flows towards the park while bypassing larger flows towards the Carter Road outfall. Pretreatment would be provided by sediment forebays. Overflow from the bioretention cells would cascade down the hillslope into constructed pocket wetlands located in the lowlands portion of the site. Overflow from the stormwater wetland would be routed back to the conveyance system using grated standpipes (or similar) used to control the ponding depth. To limit abutter impacts, a minimum 10-foot setback from parcel lines will be used, and screening vegetation can be planted if needed. A berm will be installed on the parcel's downgradient end to prevent stormwater "overflow" the neighboring property. Walking trails will be constructed to weave around the proposed BMPs, paired with educational signage.

Based on historic septic testing data conducted on the uplands portion of the property, the SHWT is about 12 feet below ground surface and the infiltration rate into native soils is 25 minutes per inch (see sheet V-3 of **Appendix C**). These parameters must be field verified during detailed design.

It should be noted that the installation of bioretention cells were recommended as a general watershed improvement in the 2008 WBP. Further, both the WBP and New Hampshire MS4 permit recommend or contain provisions for public education and outreach to improve awareness of stormwater management, and this implementation meet requirements for public outreach and education under the 2018 Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under the NH MS4 (Town of Merrimack, 2019). The required post-construction O&M for the bioretention cells and stormwater wetlands are listed in **Sections 4.2.4 and 4.2.5** of this report, respectively.

#### **Pollutant Reductions:**

The pollutant removal capacities of bioretention cells and stormwater wetlands are described previously in **Sections 4.2.4** and **4.2.5** of this report, respectively.

#### Cost:

The preliminary estimate for the proposed stormwater park at 18 Miriam Road is approximately \$162K. See **Appendix G** for a detailed cost breakdown for this project. O&M costs are expected to be comparable to those of typical landscaping required for a given site, but will vary based on the final design of the park.

# 4.3. Pollutant Reduction Summary

Pollutant reductions for the proposed condition were calculated using the washoff model described in **Section 3.2** with updated land cover and the proposed stormwater BMPs. The proposed condition land coverage is shown in **Figure 4-6** and reflects the proposed roadway paving. The results of the proposed conditions pollutant loading are presented in **Figures 4-7**, **4-8**, **and 4-9** for TSS, TP, and TN; respectively. With the road surface conversion, a significant reduction in TSS loading can be achieved (**Figure 4-7**). The pollutant loading rates by subbasin are summarized in **Table 4-2**. **Table 4-3** summarizes the pollutant reductions associated with each stormwater improvement project described above. The washoff model calculation spreadsheets are provided as **Appendix D** of this report.

#### Table 4-2. Proposed Condition Pollutant Washoff Model Results

Drainage Basin	Size, Ac.	TSS Pollutant V	Vashoff Loading		TP Pollutant Wa	shoff Loading		TN Pollutant Wa	ashoff Loading	
		Total, lb/yr	Area- Normalized, Ib/ac/yr	Reduction from Existing, %	Total, lb/yr	Area- Normalized, lb/ac/yr	Reduction from Existing, %	Total, Ib/yr	Area- Normalized, Ib/ac/yr	Reduction from Existing, %
Arnold	2.57	217	84	45%	1.0	0.37	-5%	6.4	2.49	-2%
Carter-East	3.69	110	30	83%	2.0	0.54	22%	4.1	1.11	67%
Carter-West	1.35	93	69	79%	1.6	1.17	-10%	6.6	4.88	-5%
Lake-North	12.27	1,530	125	26%	4.8	0.39	-11%	29.7	2.42	-4%
Lake-South	11.20	1,240	111	10%	4.5	0.41	-22%	27.2	2.43	-8%
Longa	3.67	114	31	93%	1.3	0.37	44%	8.7	2.39	14%
Mayhew-East	1.72	66	38	92%	0.6	0.34	55%	3.0	1.74	45%
Mayhew-West	0.65	30	46	94%	0.4	0.69	28%	0.7	1.01	68%
Miriam	2.22	60	27	96%	0.8	0.35	65%	3.0	1.36	65%
Rennie-North	0.36	13	36	94%	0.2	0.65	29%	0.4	1.18	69%
Rennie-South	1.49	30	20	94%	0.5	0.34	34%	1.2	0.79	70%
Richards	9.54	410	43	40%	1.6	0.17	-1%	12.3	1.29	0%
Shore	6.28	706	112	52%	3.3	0.53	10%	12.4	1.97	32%
Total	57.01	4,681	81	63%	22.7	0.40	12%	115.7	2.03	18%

Proposed Stormwater Improvement Project*	Drainage Basins Served	TSS Removals, lb/yr	TP Removals, lb/yr	TN Removals, Ib/yr
Roadway& Drainage: Paving	All	4,552	-2.1**	-5.1**
Roadway & Drainage: Vegetated Swales	Longa	486	0.8	0.9
Tree Box Filters	Carter-East, Rennie-North, Rennie-South, Mayhew-West, Shore	1,551	2.2	20.2
Hydrodynamic Separators	Carter-West	373	0	0
5 Richards Road Bioretention Cell	Shore	106	0.14	1.3
12 Mayhew Road Stormwater Wetland	Mayhew-East	264	0.7	2.4
18 Miriam Road Stormwater Park	Miriam	539	1.5	5.6

#### Table 4-3. Pollutant Loading Reductions by Stormwater Improvement Projects

\*Stormwater BMP loading reductions reflect pollutant removals from the proposed condition land cover (paved roads). Roadway paving loading reductions reflect land cover changes alone.

\*\*Negative removals indicate an increase in pollutant contribution attributable to a wider roadway surface.

The project-specific loading results presented in **Table 4-3** indicate that roadway paving by far accounts the most reductions in TSS loading, with slight increases in nutrient loading due to the overall increase in road surfaces counteracted by the implementation of the proposed stormwater treatment BMPs. Of the stormwater treatment BMPs considered, the tree box filters proposed along Carter Road, Rennie Road, and Shore Drive collectively provide the most removals of TSS and nutrients.

# 4.4. Stormwater Conveyance

A stormwater conveyance analysis was performed to estimate of the pipe sizes for the drainage system serving the paved roads proposed in the Subdivision. According to communications with DPW, all existing conduit serving the Carter Road outfall will be replaced, and all new conduit will be made of high-density polyethylene (HDPE). New, proposed drainage pipes will have a minimum inner diameter of at least 12 inches with at least four feet of cover. The design storm for subdivision stormwater conveyance systems in the Town of Merrimack is the 25-year (4% annual recurrence), 24-hour duration, a total depth of 5.46 inches (NRCC, 2022). To account for potential increases in extreme rainfall events due to climate change, an additional 15% increase in rainfall depth has been added to the Town design storm, following guidance from the New Hampshire Coastal Risks and Hazards Commission (NHCRHC, 2014). This increase brings the final stormwater conveyance design 25-year, 24-hour rainfall total to 6.28 inches.

### 4.4.1. Proposed Stormwater Routing Alignment

As previously discussed in this report, two stormwater outfalls drain the Subdivision and discharge conveyance to Baboosic Lake: an NH MS4 outfall at the end of Carter Road, and a smaller outfall on Shore Drive not included in the Town's NH MS4 permit. The Shore Drive outfall discharges into a small asphalt-lined swale adjacent the driveway of 20 Shore Drive which is located on a private lake-access easement collectively owned by the residents of the Subdivision. The asphalt-lined ditch discharges onto the yard downgradient of the driveway and eventually into Baboosic Lake. The homeowner of 20 Shore Drive has reported this outfall to be a source of nuisance flooding, causing erosion of a retaining wall and flooding in the building's basement (Town of Merrimack DPW, 2022). The total drainage area contributing to the Shore Drive outfall is currently about 23.3 acres (**Figure 4-10**). The Carter Road outfall is currently a 12-inch CMP serves drains about 8.0 acres of the Subdivision.

The stormwater conveyance network proposed to drain the newly paved subdivision roads is shown in **Figure 4-11**. The proposed network would redirect the stormwater runoff from the newly paved Longa, Mayhew, and Rennie Roads north towards the Carter Road outfall. This configuration would reduce the contributing drainage area towards the Shore Drive outfall by approximately 75% to 6.3 acres, which is expected to alleviate the flooding conditions at this outfall near 20 Shore Drive.

### 4.4.2. Conveyance System Analysis and Recommendations

Hydraulic analysis in support of the proposed drainage conveyance system sizing was performed using the HydroCAD (Ver 10.10-6a) stormwater model. As described in **Section 4.4**, the hydraulic design criterion for the proposed system is the 24-hour duration storm with a 25-year (4% annual chance) return interval with a 15% adjusted increase to account for potential increases in extreme rainfall events due to climate change advised by NHCRHC. The HydroCAD stormwater model reports and detailed results are provided in **Appendix F-1**. The hydraulic analysis was used to determine the peak flow rates that the proposed system would convey, and simple engineering calculations (**Appendix F-2**) were used to determine that the existing Carter Road outfall had insufficient capacity to meet this demand. The HydroCAD model was then used to size the proposed conveyance system.

Under the proposed condition, the Carter Road Outfall would be upgraded to an 18-inch HDPE pipe to accommodate the additional flow. The proposed conveyance system is shown in Sheets C-1 through C-7 of **Appendix C.** In the detailed design, the engineer is advised to consider end-of-pipe stabilization measures, such as energy dissipation pads and channel armoring at the point of discharge to protect the banks of Baboosic Lake within the Town drainage easement.

# 4.5. Project Implementation and Phasing

Formulating an implementation plan must balance project benefits and constraints. The six projects recommended by this study are primarily driven by pollutant loading reduction. As the analysis showed, roadway paving achieves the most reductions in TSS loading while also improving the quality of life for the residents. From the perspective of stormwater conveyance, the Carter Road outfall and the main "trunk" drainage line beneath Carter Road should be upgraded first to provide adequate hydraulic capacity at the downstream end as the other projects are implemented over time. As the Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision is an established community, construction planning should attempt to minimizing abutter impact through active stakeholder outreach.

For the most part, the Town finances stormwater maintenance and improvement projects through the DPW's general fund. Various external grant and loan programs are available to potentially fund the projects proposed in this study, which may result in variable phasing or grouping scenarios. Based on discussion with the DPW, this section outlines a phasing plan to implement the projects proposed in this study. As illustrated in **Figure 4-12**, this plan groups the projects in 4 phases, with the estimated cost and pollutant loading reductions for each phase summarized in **Table 4-4**. A more detailed breakdown of estimated project cost is provided in **Appendix G**.

<u>Phase 1</u> includes improvements along Carter Road, including roadway reconstruction, stormwater conveyance, hydrodynamic separator installation, tree box filter installation, and outfall channel stabilization. Carter road is the first portion of the Subdivision to be upgraded as it will hold the primary "trunk" drainage line that will eventually serve Miriam, Rennie, Mayhew, Arnold, and Longa Roads.

<u>Phase 2A</u> includes improvements along Miriam, Thomas, and Arnold Roads, including roadway paving, stormwater conveyance, and the development of the stormwater park at 18 Miriam Road. The Miriam drainage basin was identified in the existing conditions washoff analysis as the second-highest source of TP and TN loading to the Lake (see **Section 3.2.2**), and so the area is prioritized for implementation over the other portions of the Subdivision. Stormwater conveyance from this Phase will connect to the Carter Road trunk line at the intersection of Arnold and Carter Roads.

<u>Phase 2B</u> includes improvements along Mayhew, Longa, and Rennie Roads, including roadway paving, stormwater conveyance, tree box filter installation, and the development of the stormwater wetland at 12 Mayhew Road. Stormwater conveyance from this Phase will connect to the Carter Road trunk line at the intersection of Rennie and Carter Roads.

<u>Phase 3</u> includes improvements along Longa, Richards, and Donald Roads, as well as Shore Drive; and includes roadway paving, tree box filter installation, the development of the bioretention cell at 5 Richards Road, and minimal stormwater conveyance work. No changes to the routing of the Shore Drive stormwater collection system are proposed.

Implementation Phase	Estimated Cost*	Annual TSS Loading Reduction, Ib/yr	Annual TP Loading Reduction, Ib/yr	Annual TN Loading Reduction, Ib/yr
Phase 1	\$926,937	1,098	0.5	9.0
Phase 2A	\$777,769	2,234	0.9	4.4
Phase 2B	\$655,331	3,366	2.2	8.0
Phase 3	\$560,513	905	-0.4	4.0
Total	\$2,920,550	7,603	3.2	25.4

Table 4-4. Pro	posed Stormwater	mprovement Im	plementation	Phasing

\*See Appendix G for a detailed cost breakdown

# 4.6. Permitting Implications

The projects proposed in this study will meet one or more of the requirements set forth in the current 2017 (and likely future) 2017 NH MS4 permit and will contribute to the goal of alleviating the phosphorus impairment of Baboosic Lake. It is important to note that the final project design and construction will be subject to the rules and regulations of the Town of Merrimack, the state of New Hampshire, and the federal government, as applicable. For work involving wetlands or other jurisdictional waters, such as the stabilization of the drainage channel downstream of the Carter Road outfall, the Merrimack Conservation Commission and New Hampshire Wetlands Bureau may need to be engaged. A NHDES shoreland permit may also be required for work along the banks of the Lake. All wetlands should be field delineated by qualified wetlands specialists prior to detailed design. The proposed projects may be subject to regulation by the NHDES Alteration of Terrain Bureau, if the final design exceeds the land disturbance thresholds set forth by the Bureau. The final design will apply erosion control measures per Volume 3 of the New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, the New Hampshire Construction General Permit, and the Town of Merrimack's Erosion and Sediment Control Regulations (NHDES, 2008). In addition, certain federal permits may be required to complete the work, including (but not limited to) the Construction General Permit, Army Corps of Engineers wetlands permits, and others to be identified during the design phase.

# 5. Conclusions

The Baboosic Lake Drainage Study was performed under funding made available by the NHDES CWSRF loan program. Its objective is to reduce the TP loading in the stormwater runoff from the Subdivision through the development of stormwater BMPs with measurable pollutant reduction performance. Using a water quality washoff model, a pollutant loading baseline for the Subdivision was established and used as the basis to develop stormwater drainage, conveyance, and treatment projects within the Town's existing ROW and Town-owned parcels through the Subdivision. The recommended projects, if implemented in a timely manner, are expected to reduce TSS, TP, and TN loading to the Baboosic Lake from a highly developed TMDL watershed.

# 6. References

- AECOM. (2011). *Total Maximum Daily Load for Baboosic Lake, Amherst, NH.* Boston, MA: United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- CEI. (2011). *Baboosic Lake Watershed Grant Stormwater Improvements*. Merrimack, NH: Comprehensive Environmental, Incorporated.
- Contech. (2017). CDS Guide: Operation, Design, Performance and Maintenance. Contech Engineered Systems, Inc. Contech. (2022). Filterra(R) High Performance Bioretention. Contech Engineered Solutions, Inc.
- Geosyntec Consultants. (2008). *Baboosic Lake Watershed Based Plan.* Concord, NH: New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services.
- Meridian Land Services, Inc. (2001). Topographic Survey for Proposed Water Main: Baboosic Lake Road Pine Knoll Shores; Merrimack, New Hampshire. Amherst, NH: Meridian Land Services, Inc.
- NHCRHC. (2014). Sea-Level Rise, Storm Surges, and Extreme Precipitation in Coastal New Hampshire: Analysis of Past and Projected Future Trends. New Hampshire Coastal Risks and Hazards Commission.
- NHDES. (2008). *New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, Volume 1: Stormwater and Antidegradation.* Concord, NH: New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services.
- NHDES. (2008). New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, Volume 2: Post-Construction Best Management Practices; Selection & Design. Concord, NH: New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services.
- NHDES. (2008). *New Hampshire Stormwater Manual, Volume 3: Erosion and Sediment Controls during Construction.* Concord, NH: New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services.
- NRCC. (2022, November 8). *Extreme Precipitation Tables*. Retrieved from Northeast Regional Climate Center: http://precip.eas.cornell.edu/data.php?1667941566647
- NRPC. (2014). *Baboosic Lake Watershed Plan Update.* Concord, NH: New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services.
- Sheridan, G. J., & Noske, P. J. (2007). Catchment-scale contribution of forest roads to stream exports of sediment, phosphorus, and nitrogen. *Hydrological Processes*, 3107-3122.
- Town of Merrimack. (2019, June 30). Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under Small MS4 General Permit. Merrimack, New Hampshire.
- Town of Merrimack DPW. (2022). 20 Shore Drive Drainage Report. Merrimack, NH: Town of Merrimack, NH Department of Public Works.
- USEPA. (1999). *Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet: Storm Water Wetlands.* Washington, DC: United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- USEPA. (1999). *Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet: Vegetated Swales.* Washington, DC: United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water.
- USEPA. (1999). *Stowm Water Technology Fact Sheet: Bioretention.* Washington, DC: United States Environmental Protection Agency.








































# **Appendix A – CWSRF Meeting Records**

Baboosic Lake (Pine Knoll Shores) Drainage Study Project

Town of Merrimack, New Hampshire

### **Kick Off Meeting**

### April 6, 2022

# Sign-in Sheet

Name	Organization	Signature
Kyle Fox	Merrimack DPW	myle of
Kevin Anderson	Merrimack DPW	this last
Deborah Loiselle	NHDES	Jeberah Jorselle
Dennis Greene	NHDES	
Jeff Marcoux	NHDES	Mart
Yan Zhang	AECOM	you shang
Michaela Morrisey	AECOM	Michaele Morrisey

### **Kick Off Meeting**

Meeting Date:	1:00 PM EST, 04/06/2022
Meeting Location:	Merrimack, NH Town Hall, DPW Conference Room
	Teams Meeting Option
Project Name:	Merrimack Baboosic Lake Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study
Project Number:	60680349
Subject:	Project Kickoff Meeting
Meeting	Telephone 🗌 Conference Call

#### 1.0 Safety Minutes (Michaela)

#### 2.0 Introductions and Project Overview

a) Introduction of Project Staff:

٠	Merrimack DPW:	Kyle Fox
		Kevin Anderson
٠	NHDES:	Deborah Losielle
		Dennis Greene
		Jeff Marcoux
٠	AECOM:	Yan Zhang
		Michaela Morrisey

#### 3.0 CWSRF Requirements (NHDES)

#### 4.0 Project Scope of Work

Task 1: Characterizing Existing Conditions

- Desktop Data Collection and Review
- Field Visit
- Base Plan Survey (Hancock Associates)

Task 2: Evaluations

- Hydrologic and Hydraulic, Water Quality Analysis
- Stormwater Collection and Treatment Concepts (Parcels, Roads)
- Abutter Impacts, BMP Evaluations

Task 3: Conceptual Design Plans and Engineering Study Report

#### **Project Meetings**

- Kickoff 04/06
- Mid-Level Meeting/Design Concept Meeting #1
- Design Concept Meeting #2
- Wrap-up Meeting/Stake Holder Meeting

#### 5.0 Project Schedule and Deliverables

a) Proposed Project Schedule – April 6<sup>th</sup> for approximately 4 months.

			Ap	oril				May				Ju	ne			Ju	ly				August	t	
	Task Items	4/4	4/11	4/18	4/25	5/2	5/9	5/16	5/23	5/30	6/6	6/13	6/20	6/27	7/4	7/11	7/18	7/25	8/1	8/8	8/15	8/22	8/29
1	Desktop Data Review and Field Visit																						
2	Base Plan Survey																						
3	H&H, Water Quality Analysis																						
4	Stormwater Collection/Treatment, Roadway Rehabilitation Improvement Concept Development																						
5	BMP Ranking, Abutter Impacts																						
6	Conceptual Design Plan and Engineering Report (Draft & Final)																						
7	Meetings	Kickof f									Mid- Level			Desig n #2						Wrap up			

- b) Deliverables:
  - Conceptual Design Plans (up to 30%) (Draft mid-July)
  - Engineering Study Report (Draft mid-July)

#### 6.0 Quality Control

- a) Merrimack DPW and NHDES Reviews
- b) AECOM QMS (discipline reviews and check)

### **Kick Off Meeting Notes**

Meeting Date:	1:00 - 3 PM EST, 04/06/2022
Meeting Location:	Merrimack, NH Town Hall, DPW Conference Room
	Teams Meeting Option
Project Name:	Merrimack Baboosic Lake Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study
Project Number:	60680349
Subject:	Project Kickoff Meeting
Meeting	Telephone Conference Call

#### Attendees:

Merrimack DPW: Kyle Fox, Kevin Anderson NHDES: Deborah Loiselle, Jeff Marcoux AECOM: Yan Zhang, Michaela Morrisey

#### 1.0 Safety Minutes

• Michaela Morrisey presented a safety minute on preventing tick bites.

#### 2.0 Introductions and Project Overview

- Yan presented AECOM team organization, roles, and responsibilities.
- Primary contact at Town of Merrimack: Kevin Anderson. Responsible for site access, traffic control, opening drainage structures, addressing neighborhood concerns, and researching town records.
- Primary contact at NHDES: Deborah Loiselle. Deborah can address questions associated with CWSRF Requirements and connect the project team with other NHDES personnel, if needed.
- Jeff Marcoux helped to develop the 2014 Water Management Plan for Baboosic Lake. Jeff mentioned that he can share the 2014 model with AECOM. He mentioned that UNH monitors the Lake for phosphorus and should have current data.
- Dennis Greene was unable to attend the meeting. Dennis is involved with the Wastewater Bureau and Clean Water SRF. He will be more involved with the project in the construction phase.
- AECOM's project communication with Merrimack will be addressed to both Mr. Kyle Fox and Kevin Anderson.

#### 3.0 CWSRF Requirements

- Deborah discussed the requirements of the CWSRF, including the three required meetings. She expressed that she would like to attend all project meetings (4 are planned) and will use one of the mid-level meetings to satisfy the CWSRF second meeting requirement.
- The project deliverables (draft and final) will be shared both with the Town of Merrimack and NHDES. NHDES prefers electronic copies of the documents.

• Deborah discussed the deadlines associated with the grant. The current completion date is set for 1/2/2023, with the next deadline 10 months later. Extensions are possible with reasonable notice being given to Deborah.

#### 4.0 Review of Project Scope of Work

- Yan presented slides on the scope of work and potential BMP solutions.
- The team reviewed the 2001 survey (Meridian) and site figures that identify town-owned parcels that can be used for stormwater BMPs.
- The Town of Merrimack shared that open and closed drainage solutions are acceptable under the Town Zoning Laws. They also mentioned that the Town would like to pave all of the roads in the subdivision. A one-way road would be 16-feet (or 12+2+2 feet) wide and a 2-way road would be 20-24 feet in width. However, the town may be flexible with road widths due to site constraints and low traffic count in the subdivision.
- The Town of Merrimack shared that there are some existing leeching catch basins in Merrimack and they are not very effective. They have issues with clogging and may not have a long useful life. Kevin added that Fish & Wildlife sometimes adds additional requirements to deep sump catch basins (adding screens) to prevent turtles and other animals from becoming trapped within the device. The team agreed that impacts to the environment and wildlife may be a factor to consider in the BMP matrix.
- The Town of Merrimack is most interested in lower maintenance BMPs (due to the small DPW staff) and BMPs with a long service life.
- Deborah shared information about the NHDES Soak up the Rain Program, run by Lisa Loosigian. The program helps homeowners install ecofriendly BMPs, like rain gardens, on their properties. Lisa may be able to recommend BMPs for the subdivision.
- Deborah mentioned that some existing data may be available through Shoreline permits. The NH One Stop tool can be used to see if there are any recent permits in the subdivision.
- Deborah shared information on a funding opportunity for the Town to implement the drainage improvement projects in the subdivision under the Clean Water SRF program. The preapplication deadline is June 1<sup>st</sup>.

#### 5.0 Project Schedule and Deliverables

- The project team concurs with the proposed 4-month schedule, aiming to complete near end of August 2022. This schedule fits in with the CWSRF grant schedule, the Town's timeline to prepare for next year's CIP budget, and other external grant applications.
- Yan proposed the mid-level meeting (Meeting #2) to have the 10% design, and Meeting #3 to have the draft report. Exactly meeting times will be determined later. NHDES requires 2 weeks advance notice.
- The Town of Merrimack will decide if all meetings will be held in DPW Conference Room or in a more public setting.

#### 6.0 Quality Control

- All deliverables are subject to AECOM's internal QC procedures before going out.
- Project deliverables will be sent to Merrimack DPW and NHDES for review.

#### 7.0 Data Requests and Action Items

- AECOM provided Kyle and Kevin with a list of town data that is needed for the project, including septic system information and geotechnical data.
- AECOM Engineering team site visit (4/13) to collect data and define survey scope. Kevin Anderson will attend to assist team. Hancock Surveyor may participate the site visit.

- Town to provide road pavement and traffic layout plan so AECOM can start working on drainage infrastructure evaluation in the subdivision and water quality modeling to determine "hotspots".
- Modeling to determine "hot spots" of phosphorus within subdivision (AECOM).
- Kevin emailed the CAD file of the 2001 Meridian Survey to the AECOM team following the meeting.
- Kyle will facilitate with Jeff to obtain model used in the 2014 Watershed Management Plan and the phosphorus testing data from UNH.

### Baboosic Lake (Pine Knoll Shores) Drainage Study Project

### Town of Merrimack, New Hampshire

### Mid-Level Meeting

### July 14, 2022

# Sign-in Sheet

Name	Organization	Signature
Kyle Fox	Merrimack DPW	nd onl
Deborah Loiselle	NHDES	allorgh lough
Dennis Greene	NHDES	
Jeff Marcoux	NHDES	Munt
Yan Zhang	AECOM	yoursher
Craig Drennan	AECOM	C-i
Tim Belanger	Merrimack DPW	Tin Belongy
Emma Liptrop	Merrimock DPW	Ema dirta
Alex Givffrida	Merrimack DAW	Malla
		00

N.S.

· · ·

### **Mid-Level Meeting**

Meeting Date:	1:30 PM EST, 07/14/2022
Meeting Location:	Concord, NHDES Conference Room #313
	Teams Meeting Option
Project Name:	Merrimack Baboosic Lake Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study
Project Number:	60680349
Subject:	Mid-Level Meeting: Design Concept
Meeting	Telephone 🗌 Conference Call

#### 1.0 Safety Minutes (Craig)

#### 2.0 Meeting Attendees

	Kyle Fox
NHDES:	Deborah Losielle
]	Dennis Greene
	Jeff Marcoux
AECOM:	Yan Zhang
(	Craig Drennan

#### 3.0 Project Update

Task 1: Characterizing Existing Conditions

- Field Visit and Data Collection
- Base Plan Survey (Hancock Associates)
- Task 2: Existing Condition Evaluations
  - Pollutant wash-off model, methodology and Key parameters
  - Pollutant loading analysis results and comparison to TMDL
  - Proposed BMP siting
  - Abutter impact analysis approach
  - BMP selection criteria
  - Past planning implementation grant opportunities

#### 4.0 Project Schedule Update

a) Project Schedule Update – Delayed by approximately 6 weeks due to survey.

			A	pril	-		May					Ju	ine			Ju	ıly		August						September		
	Task Items	4/4	4/11	4/18	4/25	5/2	5/9	5/16	5/23	5/30	6/6	6/13	6/20	6/27	7/4	7/11	7/18	7/25	8/1	8/8	8/15	8/22	8/29	9/5	9/12	9/19	9/26
1	Desktop Data Review and Field Visit																										
2	Base Plan Survey													Draft													
3	H&H, Water Quality Analysis																										
4	Stormwater Collection/Treatment, Roadway Rehabilitation Improvement Concept Development																										
5	BMP Ranking, Abutter Impacts																										
6	Conceptual Design Plan and Engineering Report (Draft & Final)																										
7	Meetings	Kickoff														Mid- Level					Design #2				Wrap up		

#### Project Meetings

- Kickoff 04/06
- Mid-Level meeting/design concept Meeting #1 07/14
- Design concept meeting #2 (mid to late August)
- Wrap-up meeting/stake holder meeting (mid to late September)
- b) Deliverables:
  - Conceptual Design Plans (up to 30%) (Draft late-August)
  - Engineering Study Report (Draft late-August)

# Baboosic Lake (Pine Knoll Shores) Drainage Study Project

Town of Merrimack, New Hampshire

### **Mid-Level Meeting Notes**

Meeting Date:	Date: 1:30 – 3:30 PM EST, 07/14/2022											
Meeting Location:	Concord, NHDES Conference Room No. 313											
Project Name: Merrimack Baboosic Lake Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study												
Project Number:	60680349											
Subject:	Mid-Level Meeting: Design Concept Discussion											
🖂 Meeting	Telephone 📋 Conference Call											

#### Attendees:

Merrimack DPW: Kyle Fox, Tim Belanger, Emma Liptrap, Alex Griffida NHDES: Deborah Loiselle, Jeff Marcoux AECOM: Yan Zhang, Craig Drennan

#### 1.0 Safety Minutes

• Craig Drennan presented a safety minute on fertilizer & insecticide use & hazards.

#### 2.0 Project Update: Task 1 (Characterizing Existing Conditions)

- Yan reviewed the project approach discussed in the kickoff meeting.
- Data collection efforts conducted to date: have included site visit (AECOM, DPW, Hancock Associates); base plan survey (Hancock Associates); geospatial & geotechnical data collection (AECOM & DPW)
- Field visit was useful to locate/verify drainage structures, assess road conditions, inspect town-owned lots.
- Survey substantially completed, awaiting final deliverable from Hancock. DPW indicated that they would like to be provided with final survey deliverable.
- Town has furnished AECOM with geotechnical & test pit data from septic system development across subdivision: will be useful in siting various BMP types along roadways and in town land.
- Geospatial data from Town has included parcels, driveways, road extents, building footprints from GIS database.

#### 3.0 Project Update: Task 2 (Existing Condition Evaluations)

- Base plan survey was used to delineate the subdivision into drainage basins with greater details, which were further divided based on roadways that may be paved (which would substantially change drainage pattern in these areas).
- Land use coverage of the subdivision was developed from town and survey datasets. Land use classes were chosen in reference to NHDES washoff model. NHDES indicated that a recent MS4

hotspot report may be useful to consider as the land use & washoff model is described in the final report.

- AECOM has developed a pollutant washoff loading model using the Simple Model framework published by NHDES. NHDES model has been amended to include gravel roads as a land use type.
- Washoff model produces annualized loadings of TSS, TN, TP under exiting condition. The TP predictions compared in general agreement with the scaled TP load in Baboosic TMDL.
- AECOM presented the pollutant loading map for TSS and TP by drainage basins. The relative pollutant loading density can be directly attributed to land use such as gravel/dirt roads, home lawns, which contribute the most sediments and nutrients from home fertilizer use. NHDES indicated that a similar map for TN would be worthwhile to show.

#### 4.0 Next steps: BMP Siting

- AECOM presented slides demonstrating preliminary BMP sting along roadways & in Town-owned parcels.
- Town indicated that roadways could be narrowed to fit BMPs that require more easement width: Town council has been supporting making some of these roads one-way. Could a sight-distance analysis be conducted to determine which roads would be best suited for one-way traffic?
- AECOM noted that Baxter Lane is not included in the current BMP siting plan. Town indicated that Baxter is a private road, so this is not an issue.
- Two drainage alternatives were presented. One in which the Shore Drive outfall is extended to the lake; one in which it is not. Town indicated that extending the outfall to the lake through the private ROW would be nearly impossible, as it would require the sign-off of every homeowner in the subdivision. More likely is installing the line through the private property of the homeowner at 20 Shore Drive. This would require discussion with the homeowner. Such improvement will help alleviate flooding which has been complained by the homeowner.
- Town is considering buying a second vacuum excavator truck for catch basin maintenance- so hydrodynamic separators and deep sump basins should be kept on the table as potential treatment methods.

#### 5.0 Next steps: Funding & Grant Opportunities

- NHDES proposed two primary funding methodologies to implement or to continue the stormwater work proposed by this project: EPA Section 319 grants and another CWSRF loan.
- Section 319 grants cannot be used to pay for anything required by the state MS4 permit- but anything that goes above and beyond these requirements qualifies. Primarily, implementations that reduce runoff volume are ideal candidates. Jeff Marcoux is the best person to assist and advise on 319 grant applications.
- In addition, a second round of CWSRF funding may be applied for. NHDES indicated that the CWSRF program may transition to a grant from a loan (which is harder for municipalities to acquire), but the timeline is unclear for this to happen.
- Two types of CWSRF loans are available: planning and infrastructure. Planning CWSRF loans covered up to 100K of principal forgiveness for planning work that covered up to 30% design. Infrastructure loans are very low interest loans with 15-30% principal forgiveness that cover design & execution of projects at a greater-than-30% design level. Combination of planning plus infrastructure loans are also available as an option.
- Would be useful to add columns to the final BMP matrix deliverable indicating eligibility of each BMP for coverage under each funding source.
- For upland BMPs being considered on town land: may be feasible for these to be implemented early (in the next year) under a Section 319 grant. This would pull some monetary pressure off the eventual implementation of the Pine Knoll Shores project.

#### 6.0 Project Schedule and Deliverables

- AECOM noted that the project is behind the initial schedule by 5-6 weeks due to delays in the survey deliverable. NHDES and Town noted that project is still well within the schedule of CWSRF grant requirements and the Town's planning timeline for next year's spending plan.
- Preliminary dates for Mid-Level Meeting #2 were chosen- potentially Thursday, August 23rd to be held at Merrimack DPW. Meeting will cover final BMP matrix, layout, BMP designs, detailed abutter impact evaluation. It is later agreed that stakeholders should be engaged at this meeting so to finalize the design concepts with input of all parties.
- It was discussed Final wrap-up meeting, required by grant, to be held at Town Council meeting on September 22 at 7pm. Project will be presented as a line-item on the council agenda, and will be used to get stakeholder feedback on planning project. NHDES pointed out that a final project report will be needed to wrap up the project per grant requirement.

#### 7.0 Data Requests and Action Items

- AECOM will provide Town final survey deliverables once provided by Hancock & Associates.
- AECOM Engineering team will review available rights-of-way, presence of ditches, and likelihood of tree removal to determine / prioritize certain BMPs in certain applications. Roadway widths under one- and two-way conditions will also be assessed. Craig will reach out to Kyle once a preliminary assessment has been conducted to this effect.
- AECOM will continue work on proposed conditions washoff pollutant loading analysis, BMP siting and ROW analysis, and BMP ranking matrix. AECOM will also begin work on the final engineering report to be delivered upon completion of CWSRF project.

Baboosic Lake (Pine Knoll Shores) Drainage Study Project

Town of Merrimack, New Hampshire

Design Concept Meeting #2

### August 23, 2022

## Sign-in Sheet

Name	Organization	Signature
Kyle Fox	Merrimack DPW	nul In
Ashley Litwinenko	Merrimack DPW	ashley M Liternuke
Deborah Loiselle	NHDES	, yb Louse,
Dennis Greene	NHDES	
Jeff Marcoux	NHDES	Mun 1
Yan Zhang	AECOM	yan sher
Craig Drennan	AECOM	coni
David Carbonneau	AECOM	Ór P.
DAWN TUOMALA	MERRIMACK OPW	Stunk

### **Mid-Level Meeting**

Meeting Date:	1:30 PM EST, 08/23/2022											
Meeting Location:	Communication Development Meeting Room, Merrimack Town Hall											
	Teams Meeting Option											
Project Name:	Merrimack Baboosic Lake Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study											
Project Number:	60680349											
Subject:	Design Concept Meeting #2											
Meeting	Telephone 🗌 Conference Call											

#### 1.0 Safety Minutes (David)

#### 2.0 Meeting Attendees

٠	Merrimack DPW:	Kyle Fox
		Ashley Litwinenko
٠	NHDES:	Deborah Losielle
		Dennis Greene
		Jeff Marcoux
٠	AECOM:	Yan Zhang
		Craig Drennan
		David Carbonneau

#### 3.0 Project Update

Task 1: Characterizing Existing Conditions – Completed.

Task 2: Existing Condition Evaluations – Completed.

Task 3: Engineering Study and Conceptual Design

Overview of approach to selecting & siting BMPs Review of selected "Projects" for consideration Project ranking & summary matrix Proposed condition washoff modeling Next steps

#### 4.0 Project Schedule Update

a) Project Schedule Update – Delayed by approximately 6 weeks due to survey. **Extending** time period for final deliverable to incorporate feedback from final meeting into report.

		1	۵	nril		1		May				h	ine			h	ilv		1			t			Sonto	amhar			Octo	oher	
	<b>—</b> • •			pili				wiay				00				00	ary				/ lugus				Jepu	ember			000	JDEI	
	lask Items	4/4	4/11	4/18	4/25	5/2	5/9	5/16	5/23	5/30	6/6	6/13	6/20	6/27	7/4	7/11	7/18	7/25	8/1	8/8	8/15	8/22	8/29	9/5	9/12	9/19	9/26	10/3	10/10	10/17	10/24
1	Desktop Data Review and Field Visit																														
2	Base Plan Survey													Draft																	
3	H&H, Water Quality Analysis																														
	Stormwater Collection/Treatment, Roadway																														
4	Rehabilitation Improvement Concept																														
	Development																														
5	BMP Ranking, Abutter Impacts																														
6	Conceptual Design Plan and Engineering Report																														
Ŭ	(Draft & Final)																														
7	Meetings	Kickof f														Mid- Level						Desig n #2					Wrap up				

#### Project Meetings

- Kickoff 04/06
- Mid-Level meeting/design concept Meeting #1 07/14
- Design concept meeting #2 08/23
- Wrap-up meeting/stake holder meeting (09/22?)

#### b) Deliverables:

- Conceptual Design Plans (up to 30%) (Draft mid-September)
- Engineering Study Report (Draft mid-September)

## Baboosic Lake (Pine Knoll Shores) Drainage Study Project

Town of Merrimack, New Hampshire

### **Design Concept Meeting #2 Notes**

Meeting Date:	1:30 – 3:30 PM EST, 08/23/2022
Meeting Location:	Merrimack Town Hall, Community Development Meeting Room
Project Name:	Merrimack Baboosic Lake Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study
Project Number:	60680349
Subject:	Design Concept Meeting, # 2
🛛 Meeting	Telephone 🗌 Conference Call

#### Attendees:

Merrimack DPW: Kyle Fox, Ashley Litwinenko, Dawn Tuomala NHDES: Deborah Loiselle, Jeff Marcoux AECOM: Yan Zhang, Craig Drennan, David Carbonneau

#### 1.0 Safety Minutes

• David presented a safety minute on home renovations & hazard awareness.

#### 2.0 Review from Last Meeting: Existing Conditions & Design Approach

- Yan reviewed the contents of the last project meeting, including the existing conditions of roadway and drainage infrastructure within the subdivision.
- AECOM reviewed the existing condition pollutant loading for TSS, TN, and TP. AECOM pointed out that understanding the source of the pollutants provides the basis to BMP development and placement.
- Yan walked through the conceptual approach taken to formulate stormwater design concepts in the subdivision targeted to address the pollutant loading hotspots. Implementations considered following three main steps:
  - 1. Pave and repave the roadways
  - 2. Drain the newly paved roads
  - 3. Treat the stormwater running off from the subdivision to further reduce pollutant loading to the lake.
- Proposed projects will be evaluated based on treatment performance, ROW limitation, abutter impact, cost and maintenance, grant eligibility, etc. AECOM emphasized that BMPs will be sized within the Town's ROW and properties to the maximum extent practicable.

#### 3.0 Proposed Projects / Implementations

• AECOM proposed six projects in the subdivision and reviewed each of these in terms of project location, concept, cost (where available), and pollutant reductions.

- The proposed projects include full roadway paving & drainage; localized BMPs (including 7 Tree Box Filters and 1 Hydrodynamic Separator); and three regional BMPs utilizing town-owned property in the subdivision. The regional BMPs include a bioretention cell on Richards Road, a stormwater wetland on Mayhew Road, and an educational / exhibition stormwater park on Miriam Road that would demonstrate both bioretention and stormwater wetlands.
- Kyle Fox stated concerns regarding maintenance requirements for the Tree Box Filters. The Town owns vac trucks and would have no issue maintaining the hydrodynamic separators as they currently do catch basins, but what would be involved with maintaining the tree boxes? Could landscapers or contractors be hired to do this maintenance instead of Town staff? In addition, Kyle requested that the final cost-out of each project include an estimated annual O&M cost.
- NHDES staff indicated that the stormwater park would be eligible for credits towards the MS4 permit.
- Town asked whether subsurface infiltration systems had been considered for implementation on town parcels. Craig indicated that they had not because underground systems are typically used in spacelimited areas and they involve more extensive O&M. On-the-surface systems are easier to implement and friendlier in terms of cost and O&M.
- AECOM presented a preliminary summary matrix comparing the 6 projects. Town noted that the list should be ordered in terms of order of implementation such as phasing.
- Jeff Marcoux noted that all projects would be potentially eligible for Section 319 grants.
- Town specifically liked the regional BMP installations as good candidates for external funding. Town suggested that AECOM re-group the projects based on location within the subdivision. For instance, the Miriam stormwater park "project" could include paving Carter and Miriam roads; upgrading the existing drainage network; installing new drainage, and building the park, rather than having roadway paving and drainage be its own separate implementation. Then, washoff reductions can be reported for each regional project.
- NHDES listed several other grant programs that the Town could pursue; including the Mooseplate Grant and the New Hampshire Charitable Foundation.
- Town indicated that these projects would be relatively challenging in the eyes of the Town Council due to cost and to the fact that the projects only benefit small groups of residents. -But the projects would receive buy-in from the subdivision residents with relative ease.
- AECOM presented the modeled pollutant reductions with the proposed BMPs. Jeff Marcoux confirmed that the modeled pollutant reduction rates are plausible.
- Deborah asked how the NHDES SIMPLE washoff model compares to the washoff model outlined in Appendix F of the State MS4 permit? AECOM will research the MS4 permit washoff model and provide update. AECOM will document the washoff modeling comparison in the final engineering report.

#### 4.0 Next steps: Hydraulic Modeling; Public Meeting; Draft Deliverables, Action Items

- AECOM will be developing a hydraulic model to verify the capacity of the existing drain network & size the proposed network. Town requested model to be developed using HydroCAD (rather than PCSWMM; as initially scoped). NHDES will assist AECOM in filing a scope change request because the contract specifically stated PCSWMM would be used. Because both models are technically similar, NHDES expects that the request be approved.
- The project wrap-up meeting will be tentatively help in front of Town Council; likely to be 45 minutes to an hour-long presentation. While the initial goal was to target the council meeting on 9/22; all members agreed to reschedule to the 10/27 meeting.
- AECOM will aim to have a draft report & plans ready for review around 9/22, allowing time for Town & State feedback ahead of Council meeting.
- AECOM may schedule one interim Teams meeting to facility draft report review prior to the project wrap-up meeting. AECOM will aim to provide final deliverables to Town & State one to two weeks after the 10/27 meeting.
- AECOM will look into maintenance requirements & options for the tree box filters and circle back with Town on whether to keep tree boxes in future project plans. AECOM will also look at other potential alternatives for small-footprint nutrient removal, such as pocket bioretention cells, for comparison & alternate consideration.

- AECOM will finalize cost estimates for each project (once reconfigured), using NHDOT unit cost data and other information if necessary. O&M requirements will be included. The project cost estimate will incorporate project grouping/phasing consideration based on input from the Town.
- AECOM will update the project summary matrix with additional details on implementation and funding source eligibility, where applicable.

# **Appendix B – Site Visit Photolog**

CITY/TOWN		PROJECT NO.	INSPECTION DATE
Merrimack, NH		60680349	April 13, 2022
	PHOTOS		
			No.
	and the second sec		
	The second second		
			X
	A CHARA		
a let			
John Hart			

Photo 1. Silt-filled roadside swale along Carter Road. Swale accepts significant load from unpaved Rennie road.



Photo 2. Primary outfall to Baboosic Lake at end of Carter Road

ITY/TOWN		PROJECT NO.	INSPECTION DATE
lerrimack, NH		60680349	April 13, 2022
	РНОТО	S	
			4
	A VICE VIE		
XE			
Anne			
			X
	<b>米比县教会</b>		
		A Change and a cha	
	Charles and the second of the		



Photo 4. Lowlands portion of town land along Miriam Road. Note the wetlands visible in the background.

		PROJECT NO.	
Merrimack, NH		60680349	April 13, 2022
	PHOTOS		
1 cas			
		1.2	

Photo 5. Town property on Mayhew Road. Note the sediment washout onto the property from the adjacent road.



Photo 6. Overland flow draining from Shore Drive to Baboosic Lake through property at 20 Shore Drive.

ITY/TOWN	PROJECT NO.	INSPECTION DATE
lerrimack, NH	60680349	April 13, 2022
	PHOTOS	
		$\hat{\mathcal{D}}$
New Prive	13 HAR BU	
and the second se		
and the second se		
	L'AN MARK	
	The second second	
	and the second se	
in the second second	- I the state of t	
Photo 7. Sediment v	ashout area at corner of Mayhew & Rennie.	
		sin h
	ELSO AND THE STATE	
	and a second state of the second	
Carl Contract		
	EL JOB	
and the second second	Contraction of the second	
	A CARLEN AND	
	and the second second second	and a second

Photo 8. Former BMP Overflow catch basin at Carter & Arnold covered by 2" sediment (note: Carter is paved; Arnold is unpaved).
ITY/TOWN			PROJECT NO.	INSPECTION DATI
lerrimack, NH			60680349	April 13, 2022
		PHOTOS		
ter a	Contraction of	12×115-1		
	A CONTRACTOR	1 Sand Jan		
	A share a share			
	CALLANS!	Carlos and	200	
1 Star	M. Allo			
3	Las Allen Las			
1 S			- Con Service	
260-				
			En la	
			Reg CSZ	
4-1-4			Contraction State	
A STATE		The Manual		a line
		The second		
	Sector And		A CARLON	

Photo 9. Silted-over catch basin at corner of Rennie & Carter (note: Carter is paved; Rennie is unpaved).



**Photo 10**. Roadway washout area on Baxter Lane. Note: crushed remnants of former 6" PVC culvert still visible in roadway where indicated. Residents removed half of piping; visible adjacent to roadway as indicated.

### Appendix C – Conceptual Design Planset

# TOWN OF MERRIMACK, NH **BABOOSIC LAKE - PINE KNOLL SHORES** DRAINAGE STUDY

#### LOCUS MAP



### PREPARED FOR: TOWN OF MERRIMACK DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS 6 BABOOSIC LAKE ROAD MERRIMACK, NH 03054

#### NOTES:

1) THE VERTICAL DATUM FOR THIS SURVEY IS THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD88). SAID DATUM WAS ESTABLISHED VIA GPS OBSERVATIONS UTILIZING NAD83 (NA2011) EPOCH 2010.00 (MYCS2) AND GEOID 18. ALL ELEVATIONS SHOWN IN US SURVEY FEET.

2) UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE SHOWN HEREON FROM FIELD LOCATIONS OF SURFACE VISIBLE STRUCTURES AND FROM "TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY FOR PROPOSED WATER MAIN BABOOSIC LAKE ROAD" BY MERIDIAN LAND SERVICES, INC., DATED JUNE 19, 2001. UTILITY INFORMATION IS INCOMPLETE AND SHOULD BE VERIFIED FOR FUTURE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION WORK.

3) DRAINAGE INVERTS SHOWN WITH AN \* WERE TAKEN FROM "TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY FOR PROPOSED WATER MAIN BABOOSIC LAKE ROAD" BY MERIDIAN LAND SERVICES, INC., DATED JUNE 19, 2001 AND ADJUSTED TO CURRENT DATUM.

4) THIS PLAN IS THE RESULT OF AN ON-THE-GROUND INSTRUMENT SURVEY PERFORMED BY HANCOCK ASSOCIATES IN JUNE OF 2022.

5) A BOUNDARY SURVEY WAS NOT INCLUDED WITH THE SCOPE OF THIS SURVEY. BOUNDARY WAS TAKEN FROM MERRIMACK NEW HAMPSHIRE GIS.

6) WATER TABLE & INFILTRATION DATA ARE SHOWN HEREON ARE REFERENCED FROM TEST PIT RESULTS SHOWN IN SEPTIC SYSTEM PERMITTING RECORDS, PROVIDED TO AECOM BY THE TOWN OF MERRIMACK. LOCATIONS OF TEST PIT DATA SHOWN HERE ARE APPROXIMATED.

7) THE DESIGN CONCEPTS DEMONSTRATED HEREIN ARE A PRODUCT OF THE BABOOSIC LAKE (PINE KNOLL SHORES) DRAINAGE STUDY CONDUCTED BY AECOM IN SUMMER 2022. REFER TO REPORT FOR BASIS OF DESIGN AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

G-01	C
V-01	ΕX
V-02	E۶
V-03	E۷
V-04	E۷
V-05	E۷
V-06	E۷
V-07	E۷
C-01	C

### PREPARED BY:

AECOM 250 APOLLO DRIVE CHELMSFORD, MA 01824

SEPTEMBER 2022

### CONCEPTUAL DESIGN PACKAGE

NOT FOR PERMITTING OR CONSTRUCTION

### CONTENTS:

OVER & NOTES XISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 1 XISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 2 XISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 3 XISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 4 XISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 5 XISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 6 XISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 7 ONCEPTUAL DESIGN SHEET 1

CONCEPTUAL DESIGN SHEET 2 C-02 **CONCEPTUAL DESIGN SHEET 3** C-03 **CONCEPTUAL DESIGN SHEET 4** C-04 C-05 **CONCEPTUAL DESIGN SHEET 5 CONCEPTUAL DESIGN SHEET 6** C-06 CONCEPTUAL DESIGN SHEET 7 C-07 **DETAILS SHEET 1** D-01 DETAILS SHEET 2 D-02



KEY MAP



MINOR TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOUR (1') EDGE OF RIGHT-OF-WAY / PROPERTY LINE EDGE OF GRAVEL ROAD (EX.) EDGE OF PAVED ROAD (EX.) EDGE OF PAVED ROAD (PR.) ROADSIDE DITCH (EX.) PROPOSED ASPHALT PAVING PROPOSED CHANNEL STABILIZATION TOWN-OWNED PARCELS FOR DEVELOPMENT

GRASS-LINED CONVEYANCE SWALE



CCB		CAPE COD CURB	
CONC.		CONCRETE	
CLF		CHAIN LINK FENCE	
RCP		REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE	
INV		INVERT	
CMP		CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	
PVC		POLYVINYL CHLORIDE	
DMH	$\bigcirc$	DRAIN MANHOLE (EX.)	
	SD	DRAIN MANHOLE (PR.)	
	0	HYDRODYNAMIC SEPARATOR (PR.)	
СВ		CATCH BASIN (EX.)	
		CATCH BASIN (PR.)	
	$\odot$	TREE BOX FILTER (PR.)	
SHWT		SEASONAL HIGH WATER TABLE	
		EXISTING UTILITY POLE	
	$\bowtie$	WATER VALVE	
		HYDRANT	
6A-2	2/29	ASSESSORS MAP AND LOT	
	$\mathbf{ightarrow}$	TEST PIT LOCATION	

### AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack **Department of Public Works** 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com

### DRAFT

#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION
-		

#### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

**COVER & NOTES** 

#### SHEET NUMBER

G-01



L:\DCS\Projects\ENV\60680349\_MerrimackNH\_BaboosicLake\900\_CAD\_GIS\910\_CAD\Design\ACM\_G-V-SERIES.dwg, 11/8/2022 6:17:36 PM, craig.drennan

#### NOTE:

1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND.

+ CB RIM=335.65 15"CMP INV=330.85

15"CMP INV=330.85

15"CMP INV=330.65

\_ SUMP=329.15(DEBRIS)

- DMH

RIM=338.13 15"CMP INV=332.77

15"CMP INV=332.77

SUMP=332.13



#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com







#### ISSUE/REVISION

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

EXISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 1

#### SHEET NUMBER

NOTE: 1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND.



## AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com







#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

EXISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 2

#### SHEET NUMBER



### AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com







#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

EXISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 3

#### SHEET NUMBER





#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com







#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

#### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

EXISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 4

#### SHEET NUMBER

V-04

NOTE: 1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND.

1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND.



## AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com

DRAFT



0 30 60

#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
А	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

#### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

EXISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 5

#### SHEET NUMBER



## AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com





#### ISSUE/REVISION

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

#### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

EXISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 6

#### SHEET NUMBER



## AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack **Department of Public Works** 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com





0 30 60 Feet

#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
А	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

EXISTING CONDITIONS SHEET 7

#### SHEET NUMBER





- CONNECT TO EX. PAVING

> - EX. STORM DRAIN TO REMAIN; PROTEC1

- 1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND
- LEGEND.
  ALL PROPOSED ROADWAYS SHALL BE PAVED PER DETAIL 01 ON SHEET D-01. REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR PROPOSED ROADWAY DIRECTIONALITY.
- 3) PROPOSED STORMWATER DRAINAGE
   ALIGNMENTS ARE CONCEPTUAL. CONDUIT SIZING
   AND SLOPE ARE BASED ON PRELIMINARY
   STORMWATER MODELING AND SUBJECT TO
   CHANGE: REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT
   FOR SIZING CALCULATIONS.
- 4) ALL PROPOSED STORMWATER CONDUIT SHALL BE HDPE, WITH A MINIMUM INNER DIAMETER OF 12 INCHES. COVER ABOVE PIPE SHALL BE AT LEAST 4 FEET.
- LEAST 4 FEET.
  5) CATCH BASIN PLACEMENT IS NOT INCLUDED AT THIS LEVEL OF DESIGN. MANHOLE PLACEMENT SHOWN AT 300' INTERVALS TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE ACCESS.



#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com







#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

#### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

CONCEPT DESIGN SHEET 1

#### SHEET NUMBER

- 1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND
- LEGEND.
  2) ALL PROPOSED ROADWAYS SHALL BE PAVED PER DETAIL 01 ON SHEET D-01. REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR PROPOSED ROADWAY DIRECTIONALITY.
- 3) PROPOSED STORMWATER DRAINAGE ALIGNMENTS ARE CONCEPTUAL. CONDUIT SIZING AND SLOPE ARE BASED ON PRELIMINARY STORMWATER MODELING AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE: REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR SIZING CALCULATIONS.
- 4) ALL PROPOSED STORMWATER CONDUIT SHALL BE HDPE, WITH A MINIMUM INNER DIAMETER OF 12 INCHES. COVER ABOVE PIPE SHALL BE AT LEAST 4 FEET.
- 5) CATCH BASIN PLACEMENT IS NOT INCLUDED AT THIS LEVEL OF DESIGN. MANHOLE PLACEMENT SHOWN AT 300' INTERVALS TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE ACCESS.



## AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com







#### ISSUE/REVISION

_		
В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

CONCEPT DESIGN SHEET 3

#### SHEET NUMBER

- 1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND
- LEGEND.
  2) ALL PROPOSED ROADWAYS SHALL BE PAVED PER DETAIL 01 ON SHEET D-01. REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR PROPOSED ROADWAY DIRECTIONALITY.
- 3) PROPOSED STORMWATER DRAINAGE ALIGNMENTS ARE CONCEPTUAL. CONDUIT SIZING AND SLOPE ARE BASED ON PRELIMINARY STORMWATER MODELING AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE: REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR SIZING CALCULATIONS.
- 4) ALL PROPOSED STORMWATER CONDUIT SHALL BE HDPE, WITH A MINIMUM INNER DIAMETER OF 12 INCHES. COVER ABOVE PIPE SHALL BE AT LEAST 4 FEET.
- 5) CATCH BASIN PLACEMENT IS NOT INCLUDED AT THIS LEVEL OF DESIGN. MANHOLE PLACEMENT SHOWN AT 300' INTERVALS TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE ACCESS.



### AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com







#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

CONCEPT DESIGN SHEET 3

#### SHEET NUMBER





#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com

DRAFT





#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

#### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

CONCEPT DESIGN SHEET 4

#### SHEET NUMBER

C-04

NOTE:

- 1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND
- LEGEND.
  2) ALL PROPOSED ROADWAYS SHALL BE PAVED PER DETAIL 01 ON SHEET D-01. REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR PROPOSED ROADWAY DIRECTIONALITY.
- 3) PROPOSED STORMWATER DRAINAGE ALIGNMENTS ARE CONCEPTUAL. CONDUIT SIZING AND SLOPE ARE BASED ON PRELIMINARY STORMWATER MODELING AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE: REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR SIZING CALCULATIONS.
- 4) ALL PROPOSED STORMWATER CONDUIT SHALL BE HDPE, WITH A MINIMUM INNER DIAMETER OF 12 INCHES. COVER ABOVE PIPE SHALL BE AT LEAST 4 FEET.
- 5) CATCH BASIN PLACEMENT IS NOT INCLUDED AT THIS LEVEL OF DESIGN. MANHOLE PLACEMENT SHOWN AT 300' INTERVALS TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE ACCESS.

- 1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND
- LEGEND.
  2) ALL PROPOSED ROADWAYS SHALL BE PAVED PER DETAIL 01 ON SHEET D-01. REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR PROPOSED ROADWAY DIRECTIONALITY.
- 3) PROPOSED STORMWATER DRAINAGE ALIGNMENTS ARE CONCEPTUAL. CONDUIT SIZING AND SLOPE ARE BASED ON PRELIMINARY STORMWATER MODELING AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE: REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR SIZING CALCULATIONS.
- ALL PROPOSED STORMWATER CONDUIT SHALL BE HDPE, WITH A MINIMUM INNER DIAMETER OF 12 INCHES. COVER ABOVE PIPE SHALL BE AT LEAST 4 FEET.
- 5) CATCH BASIN PLACEMENT IS NOT INCLUDED AT THIS LEVEL OF DESIGN. MANHOLE PLACEMENT SHOWN AT 300' INTERVALS TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE ACCESS.



## AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com

DRAFT

![](_page_86_Picture_16.jpeg)

0 30 60

#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
А	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

#### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

CONCEPT DESIGN SHEET 5

#### SHEET NUMBER

![](_page_87_Figure_0.jpeg)

- 1) SEE SHEET G-01 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND
- LEGEND.
  2) ALL PROPOSED ROADWAYS SHALL BE PAVED PER DETAIL 01 ON SHEET D-01. REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR PROPOSED ROADWAY
- DIRECTIONALITY.
  3) PROPOSED STORMWATER DRAINAGE ALIGNMENTS ARE CONCEPTUAL. CONDUIT SIZING AND SLOPE ARE BASED ON PRELIMINARY STORMWATER MODELING AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE: REFER TO DRAINAGE STUDY REPORT FOR SIZING CALCULATIONS.
- ALL PROPOSED STORMWATER CONDUIT SHALL BE HDPE, WITH A MINIMUM INNER DIAMETER OF 12 INCHES. COVER ABOVE PIPE SHALL BE AT LEAST 4 FEET.
- 5) CATCH BASIN PLACEMENT IS NOT INCLUDED AT THIS LEVEL OF DESIGN. MANHOLE PLACEMENT SHOWN AT 300' INTERVALS TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE ACCESS.

![](_page_87_Picture_7.jpeg)

## AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com

![](_page_87_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Picture_16.jpeg)

**ISSUE/REVISION** 

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

CONCEPT DESIGN SHEET 6

#### SHEET NUMBER

![](_page_88_Figure_0.jpeg)

- 5) CATCH BASIN PLACEMENT IS NOT INCLUDED AT THIS LEVEL OF DESIGN. MANHOLE PLACEMENT SHOWN AT 300' INTERVALS TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE ACCESS.

![](_page_88_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com

DRAFT

![](_page_88_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

#### PROJECT NUMBER

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

CONCEPT DESIGN SHEET 7

#### SHEET NUMBER

![](_page_89_Figure_0.jpeg)

### AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack Department of Public Works 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com

### DRAFT

#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

#### **PROJECT NUMBER**

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

CONCEPT DESIGN DETAILS SHEET 1

#### SHEET NUMBER

D-01

![](_page_90_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_90_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### 8 BIORETENTION CELL SOIL COLUMN

## AECOM

#### PROJECT

Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study

#### CLIENT

Town of Merrimack **Department of Public Works** 6 Baboosic Lake Road Merrimack, NH 03054

#### CONSULTANT

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 978.905.2100 tel www.aecom.com

![](_page_90_Picture_22.jpeg)

#### **ISSUE/REVISION**

В	11/09/2022	CONCEPT DESIGN
Α	9/30/2022	ISSUE FOR REVIEW
I/R	DATE	DESCRIPTION

#### **PROJECT NUMBER**

60680349

#### SHEET TITLE

CONCEPT DESIGN DETAILS SHEET 2

#### SHEET NUMBER

D-02

### Appendix D – Washoff Model Files & Documentation

Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	7/15/2022		
Project Name:	Pike Knoll Shores Drainage Study		
Town/City:	Merrimack, NH		
Impacted Surface Waters:	Baboosic Lake		
Applicant:			
DES File #:			
Average Annual Precipitation P	43.34	inches	ONLY INPUT VALUES IN BLUE SHADED O
Fraction of Annual Runoff events that produce runoff	0.90	(usually 0.9)	

Credit for Using Low Nutrient Fertilizer: If there are managed turf areas under post development conditions that are to be fertilized annually, reductions in post development nutrient (TP and TN) loadings can be realized by by providing enforceable documents (i.e., deed restrictions) requiring land owners to use low nutrient fertilizer. To get low nutrient fertilizer pollutant reductions input the proposed reduced fertilizer application rates for post development development for TP and TN in the table below. Low nutrient fertilizers must have application rates less than the standard fertilizer application rate shown in the table. Then input the percent of each land use in each post development sub-area that is managed turf that is fertilzed annually.

STANDARD FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATE (lbs/acre/year) PROPOSED REDUCED FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATES FOR POST-DEVELOPMENT (lbs/acre/year) INITIAL PERCENT REDUCTION

Fertilizer Reduction Calculator				
TP	TN			
15.0	150.0			
15.0	150.0			
0.0%	0.0%			
50%	50%			
10%	10%			
0.0%	0.0%			
0.11	1.74			

PERCENT OF CITIZENS THAT WILL COMPLY WITH REDUCED APPLICATION RATES			50%	50%				
PERCENT OF APPLIED FERTILIZER THA	AT IS LOST TO RUNOFF OR PE	RCOLATION	10%	10%				
FINAL PERCENT FERTILIZER REDUCTION	ON WITH COMPLIANCE AND F	RUNOFF RATES APPLIED (%FR	0.0%	0.0%		Used to reduce EMCs	for Post TP and Post	TN
MINIMUM ASSUMED EMC = EMC <sub>MIN</sub> (mg/	/L)		0.11	1.74	•	for each land use in ea	ch Sub Area depend	ing on perce
						of area that is manage	d turf that is fertilized	d annually
PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDIT	IONS		POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITION	NS				-
	Area	Impervious Area		Area	Impervious Area	Area Fertilized Annually		
Total Area (All Sub-Areas) (acres)	57.01	7.25		57.01	7.74	0.00		
		Insert information for 1st sub-area bel	OW					
Sub_Area_ID	Arnold		Sub_Area_ID	Arnold				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	2		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	2				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	2.57	0.30	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	2.57	0.31	0.00		
Land Use	Area	la	Land Use	Total Area for each Land Use	la	Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
	(acres)	(% Impervious)		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG			From HWG					
Residential Roof	f 0.22	100.00%	Residential Roof	0.22	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.00	100.00%	Residential Street	0.08	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.26	0.00%	Lawns	0.26	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.14	0.00%	Driveway	0.14	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM			From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	1.87	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	1.86	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97

ELLS	

Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske		
Gravel Road	0.07	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%
Sub_Area_ID	Carter-E		Sub_Area_ID	Carter-E	
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	2		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	2	
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	3.69	0.70	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	3.69	0.81

Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske			_		
Gravel Road	0.07	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 2nd sub-area	below					
Sub_Area_ID	Carter-E		Sub_Area_ID	Carter-E				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	2		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	2				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	3.69	0.70	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	3.69	0.81	0.00		
						<b>D</b>		
						Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Use	Area	la	Land Use	Area	la	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	(acres)	(% Impervious)		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG			From HWG	. ,			-	-
Residential Roof	0.36	100.00%	Residential Roof	0.36	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.33	100.00%	Residential Street	0.45	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.54	0.00%	Lawns	0.53	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.28	0.00%	Driveway	0.26	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM			From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	2.17	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	2.10	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske					
Gravel Road	0.01	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 3rd sub-area	below					
Sub_Area_ID Deint of Analysia (De A) Number		-	Sub_Area_ID Baint of Analysia (Ba A) Number	Lake-N	-			
Point of Analysis (POA) Number	1	1.47	Point of Analysis (POA) Number	10.07	1.57	0.00		
Total Alea for Sub-Alea (acles)	12.27	1.47	Total Alea III Sub-Alea (acles)	12.21	1.57	0.00		
Land Line	A-rec	la		Area	la	Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Ose			Lanu Ose	Alea (acros)	(% Imponyious)	0/	ma/l	ma/l
From HW/G	(acres)	(% Impervious)	From HWG	(acres)	(% impervious)	70	mg/L	mg/∟
Residential Roof	1 02	100 00%	Residential Roof	1 02	100 00%	0.0%	0 11	1 50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2 10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0 15	1.90
Residential Street	0.10	100.00%	Residential Street	0.45	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Hidhway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Hidhwav	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.63	0.00%	Lawns	0.63	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	1.18	0.00%	Drivewav	1.17	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20

HWG		
Residential Roof	1.02	100.00%
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%
Residential Street	0.10	100.00%
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%
Lawns	0.63	0.00%
Driveway	1.18	0.00%
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%

It of Analysis (POA) Number						
l Area in Sub-Area (acres)	12.27	1.57	0.00	]		
			Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	P	
Land Use	Area	la				
	(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L		
n HWG						
Residential Roof	1.02	100.00%	0.0%	0.11		
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14		
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15		
Residential Street	0.45	100.00%	0.0%	0.55		
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32		
Lawns	0.63	0.00%	0.0%	2.10		
Driveway	1.17	0.00%	0.0%	0.56		
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40		

Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM			From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	8.99	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	8.90	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske			_		
Gravel Road	0.35	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.10	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 4th sub-area	below					
Sub_Area_ID	Lake-S		Sub_Area_ID	Lake-S				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	4		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	4				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	11.20	1.29	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	11.20	1.47	0.00		
						Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Use	Area	la	Land Use	Area	la	• •		
	(acres)	(% Impervious)		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG	. ,		From HWG	. ,	,		-	-
Desidential Desf	0.00	400.000/	Desidential Dest	0.00	100.000/	0.00/	0.11	4 50

						is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Use	Area	la	Land Use	Area	la			
	(acres)	(% Impervious)		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG			From HWG					
Residential Roof	0.90	100.00%	Residential Roof	0.90	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.25	100.00%	Residential Street	0.57	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.50	0.00%	Lawns	0.50	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.89	0.00%	Driveway	0.87	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM			From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	8.53	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	8.37	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske					
Gravel Road	0.14	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 5th sub-area	volec					
Sub_Area_ID	Longa		Sub_Area_ID	Longa				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3	1	Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	3.67	0.56	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	3.67	0.52	0.00		

	Land Use	Area (acres)	la (% Impervious)	Land Use	Area	la (% Impervious
From HWG		(40100)	(% impervices)	From HWG	(40100)	(70 111001000
	Residential Roof	0.18	100.00%	Residential Roof	0.18	100.00%
	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%

0.00 Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually) % is) 0.0% 0.0%

Post-TN

EMC

mg/L

1.50

2.10

Post-TP EMC

mg/L

0.11

0.14

Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90			
Residential Street	0.00	100.00%	Residential Street	0.34	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40			
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00			
Lawns	0.31	0.00%	Lawns	0.29	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10			
Driveway	0.12	0.00%	Driveway	0.12	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10			
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20			
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00			
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50			
From CDM	From CDM From CDM										
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98			
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97			
Forest/Rural Open	2.67	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	2.74	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74			
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65			
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97			
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15			
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74			
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38			
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske								
Gravel Road	0.38	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40			
		Insert information for 6th sub-area b	pelow								
Sub_Area_ID	Mayhew-E		Sub_Area_ID	Mayhew-E							
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3							
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	1.72	0.28	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	1.72	0.28	0.00					

						Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Use	Area	la	Land Use	Area	la			
	(acres)	(% Impervious)		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG			From HWG					
Residential Roof	0.09	100.00%	Residential Roof	0.09	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.00	100.00%	Residential Street	0.19	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.29	0.00%	Lawns	0.29	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.13	0.00%	Driveway	0.12	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM			From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	1.02	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	1.03	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske					
Gravel Road	0.19	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 7th sub-area b	elow					
Sub_Area_ID	Mayhew-W		Sub_Area_ID	Mayhew-W				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3	1	Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	0.65	0.14	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	0.65	0.15	0.00		

1.72 0.28 0.00

						Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Use	Area	la	Land Use	Area	la			
	(acres)	(% Impervious)		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG			From HWG					
Residential Roof	0.01	100.00%	Residential Roof	0.01	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.00	100.00%	Residential Street	0.14	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.00	0.00%	Lawns	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.03	0.00%	Driveway	0.02	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM			From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	0.48	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	0.47	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske					
Gravel Road	0.13	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 8th sub-area	pelow					
Sub_Area_ID	Miriam		Sub_Area_ID	Miriam				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	4		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	4				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	2.22	0.51	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	2.22	0.51	0.00		

Land Use	Area	la
	(acres)	(% Impervious)
From HWG		
Residential Roof	0.15	100.00%
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%
Residential Street	0.00	100.00%
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%
Lawns	0.36	0.00%
Driveway	0.14	0.00%
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%
From CDM		
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%
Commercial	0.00	0.00%
Forest/Rural Open	1.21	0.00%
Highway	0.00	0.00%
Industrial	0.00	0.00%
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%
Erom Charidan & Naaka		

			Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Use	Area	la			
	(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG					
Residential Roof	0.15	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.36	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.36	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.14	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	1.21	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38

From Sheridan & Noske

From Sheridan & Noske

Gravel Road	0.36	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 9th sub-area be	low					
Sub_Area_ID	Rennie-N		Sub_Area_ID	Rennie-N				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	2		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	2				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	0.36	0.08	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	0.36	0.08	0.00		
						Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Use	Area	la	Land Use	Area	la			
	(acres)	(% Impervious)		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG			From HWG					
Residential Roof	0.03	100.00%	Residential Roof	0.03	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.00	100.00%	Residential Street	0.06	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.06	0.00%	Lawns	0.06	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.00	0.00%	Driveway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM			From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	0.22	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	0.21	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske					
Gravel Road	0.05	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 10th sub-area be	elow					
Sub_Area_ID	Rennie-S		Sub_Area_ID	Rennie-S				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3	1	Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	1.49	0.22	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	1.49	0.22	0.00		

Land Use	Area (acres)	la (% Impervious)
From HWG	()	(
Residential Roof	0.11	100.00%
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%
Residential Street	0.00	100.00%
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%
Lawns	0.08	0.00%
Driveway	0.07	0.00%
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%
From CDM		
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%
Commercial	0.00	0.00%
Forest/Rural Open	1.12	0.00%

	Land Use	Area	la	Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG						
	Residential Roof	0.11	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
	Residential Street	0.11	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
	Lawns	0.08	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
	Driveway	0.06	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM	-					
	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
	Forest/Rural Open	1.12	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74

Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
, Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	, Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske					
Gravel Road	0.11	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 11th sub-area	below					
Sub Area ID	Richards		Sub Area ID	Richards				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	9.54	0.27	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	9.54	0.28	0.00		
						Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Use	Area	la	Land Use	Area	la			
	(acres)	(% Impervious)		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG			From HWG					
Residential Roof	0.17	100.00%	Residential Roof	0.17	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.00	100.00%	Residential Street	0.10	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.53	0.00%	Lawns	0.53	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.31	0.00%	Driveway	0.31	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM			From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	8.43	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	8.43	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske					
Gravel Road	0.10	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.00	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 12th sub-area	below					
Sub_Area_ID	Shore		Sub_Area_ID	Shore				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	3				
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	6.28	1.06	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	6.28	1.12	0.00		
						Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Lleo	Aroa	la	Land Leo	Aroa	la –			

Land Use	<b>Area</b> (acres)	<b>la</b> (% Impervious)	Land Use
From HWG			From HWG
Residential Roof	0.50	100.00%	Reside
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Comme
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Re
Residential Street	0.39	100.00%	Residen
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urbar
Lawns	0.46	0.00%	
Driveway	0.32	0.00%	
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential

			Percent of Area that is managed turf (i.e., fertilized annually)	Post-TP EMC	Post-TN EMC
Land Use	Area	la			
	(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
rom HWG					
Residential Roof	0.50	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.58	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.45	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.30	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20

Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM			From CDM					
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	4.45	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	4.40	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske			From Sheridan & Noske					
Gravel Road	0.17	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.05	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
		Insert information for 13th sub-area	ı below					
Sub_Area_ID	Carter-W		Sub_Area_ID	Carter-W				
Point of Analysis (PoA) Number	2		Point of Analysis (PoA) Number					
Total Area for Sub-Area (acres)	1.35	0.38	Total Area in Sub-Area (acres)	1.35	0.41	0.00		
						Percent of Area that		Post-TN
						is managed turf (i.e.,	Post-TP EMC	EMC
						fertilized annually)		2
Land Use	Area	la	Land Use	Area	la			
	(acres)	(% Impervious)		(acres)	(% Impervious)	%	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG			From HWG					
Residential Roof	0.17	100.00%	Residential Roof	0.17	100.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	Commercial Roof	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	Commercial/Res Parking	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	0.18	100.00%	Residential Street	0.22	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	Urban Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.00
Lawns	0.27	0.00%	Lawns	0.27	0.00%	0.0%	2.10	9.10
Driveway	0.14	0.00%	Driveway	0.13	0.00%	0.0%	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	Residential (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Commercial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	Industrial (general)	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.40	2.50
From CDM		0.000/	From CDM		0.000/	0.001	o o=	
Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	Agriculture and Pasture	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.37	5.98
Commercial	0.00	0.00%	Commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	0.56	0.00%	Forest/Rural Open	0.54	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Highway	0.00	0.00%	Highway	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.43	2.65
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	Medium Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	Urban Open	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	Water/Wetland	0.00	0.00%	0.0%	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske	0.00	100 0001	From Sheridan & Noske	0.00	100.000/	0.001	~	4.40
Gravel Road	0.03	100.00%	Gravel Road	0.02	100.00%	0.0%	0.55	1.40

	(603) 271-2304			
PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095				
2020-03-02	www.des.nh.gov	Tab 1 of 6		

#### EVENT MEAN CONCENTRATIONS (EMC OR C)

Land Use	TSS	Pre - TP	Pre - TN
	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
From HWG			
Residential Roof	19	0.11	1.50
Commercial Roof	9	0.14	2.10
Commercial/Res Parking	27	0.15	1.90
Residential Street	172	0.55	1.40
Urban Highway	142	0.32	3.00
Lawns	80	2.10	9.10
Driveways	173	0.56	2.10
Residential (general)	100	0.40	2.20
Commercial (general)	75	0.20	2.00
Industrial (general)	120	0.40	2.50
From CDM			
Agriculture and Pasture	145	0.37	5.98
Commercial	77	0.33	2.97
Forest/Rural Open	51	0.11	1.74
Highway	141	0.43	2.65
Industrial	149	0.32	3.97
Medium Density Residential	70	0.52	5.15
Urban Open	51	0.11	1.74
Water/Wetland	6	0.08	1.38
From Sheridan & Noske (2007)*			
Gravel Road (2WD, light trucks)	496	0.55	1.40

\*TSS Loading adopted from Sheridan & Noske; TN & TP washoff assumed to match paved residential roads.

#### Washoff\_Final\_Print-Formatted.xls Input BMPs

Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	7/15/2022					
Project Name:	Pike Knoll Shores Drainage Study	ONLY CHAN	IGE VALUES	SHADED IN BL	UE	
Town/City:	Merrimack, NH					
Impacted Surface Waters:	Baboosic Lake					
Applicant:						
DES File #:						
					IES (%) FOR POLI LITANTS OF	
Sub-Area		TSS	TP	TN		CONCLAR
Cub Alcu		100				
Arnold						
Carter-E						
Lake-N						
Lake-S						
Longa						
Mayhew-E						
Mayhew-W						
Miriam						
Rennie-N						
Rennie-S						
Richards						
Shore						
Carter-W						

POST DEVELOPMENT	INPUT BMP DESCRIPTIONS	INPUT OVERA	LL REMOVAL	EFFICIENC
Sub-Area		TSS	TP	TN
Arnold	None proposed	0%	0%	0%
Carter-E	Area served by tree boxes	86%	34%	70%
Lake-N	None proposed	0%	0%	0%
Lake-S	None proposed	0%	0%	0%
Longa	Entire area served by treatment swale	81%	38%	9%
Mayhew-E	Entire area served by stormwater wetland	80%	55%	45%
Mayhew-W	Entire area served by tree box	86%	34%	70%
	Served in series by bioretention and stormwater wetland; highest removals			
Miriam	(bioretention) were used	90%	65%	65%
Rennie-N	Entire area served by tree box	86%	34%	70%
Rennie-S	Entire Area served by tree box	86%	34%	70%
Richards	None proposed	0%	0%	0%
Shore	50% served by tree boxes/ bioretention (40% at outfall, 10% at Richards)	43%	17%	35%
Cartor W	Area served by hydrodynamic separator	80%	0%	0%

	(603) 271-2304		
	PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095		
2020-03-02	www.des.nh.gov	Tab 2 of 6	

#### Washoff\_Final\_Print-Formatted.xls OVERALL SUMMARY

7/15/2022 Pike Knoll Shores Drainage Study Merrimack, NH Baboosic Lake

TOTAL PRE -DEVELOPMENT (PRE-DEV) AREA (ACRES) =	57.01	
TOTAL PRE-DEV EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUS AREA (ACRES) =	7.25	
TOTAL PRE-DEV PERCENT EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUS (%) =	12.7%	
TOTAL POST DEVELOPMENT (POST-DEV) AREA (ACRES) =	57.01	
TOTAL POST-DEV EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUS AREA (ACRES) =	7.74	
TOTAL POST-DEV PERCENT EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUS (%) =	13.6%	
TOTAL POST-DEV AREA THAT IS FERTILIZED ANNUALLY (ACRES) =	0.00	
TOTAL POST-DEV PERCENT OF AREA THAT IS FERTILIZED ANNUALLY (%) =	0.0%	

	TSS	TP	TN
	(LBS/YR)	(LBS/YR)	(LBS/YR)
PRE DEVELOPMENT LOADS (NO BMPS)	12489.5	25.9	141.0
PRE DEVELOPMENT LOADS (WITH BMPS)	12489.5	25.9	141.0
PRE DEVELOPMENT LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO BMPS	0.0	0.0	0.0
PROPOSED PERCENT REDUCTION IN FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATE	NA	0.0%	0.0%
POST DEVELOPMENT LOADS (NO BMPS)	7937.8	28.0	146.1
POST DEVELOPMENT LOADS (WITH BMPS)	4618.1	22.7	115.7
POST DEVELOPMENT LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO BMPS	3319.7	5.3	30.5
POST DEVELOPMENT - PRE DEVELOPMENT (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE)	-7871.4	-3.2	-25.4
% DIFFERENCE FROM PRE DEVELOMENT LOADS (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE)	-63.0%	-12.3%	-18.0%
TOTAL REMOVAL EFFICIENCY NEEDED TO MEET PRE-DEVELOPMENT LOAD	-57.3%	7.6%	3.5%

Date (MM/DD/YYYY):
Project Name:
Town/City:
Impacted Surface Waters:
Applicant:
DES File #:

7/15/2022 Pike Knoll Shores Drainage Study Merrimack, NH Baboosic Lake

TOTAL POST DEVELOPMENT - PRE DEVELOPMENT (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE) (Ibs/yr)	-3.2
% DIFFERENCE FROM PRE DEVELOMENT LOADS (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE)	-12.3%
TOTAL REMOVAL EFFICIENCY NEEDED TO MEET PRE-DEVELOPMENT LOAD	7.6%
CURRENTLY PROPOSED REMOVAL EFFICIENCY	19.0%
REMAINING REMOVAL EFFICIENCY NECESSARY TO MEET PRE-DEVELOPMENT LOAD	-11.4%

#### PRE-DEVELOPMENT

PRE OR POST - DEV	SUB-AREA	POINT OF ANALYSIS NUMBER	AREA (acres)	Effective Impervious Area (acres)	Area Fertilized Annually (acres)	POLLUTANT	PERCENT REDUCTION IN FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATE	BMPS	LOAD (NO BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD (WITH BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO BMPS (lbs/yr)	PERCENT REMOVAL
PRE	Arnold	2	2.57	0.30	NA	TP	NA		0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Carter-E	2	3.69	0.70	NA	TP	NA		2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Lake-N	1	12.27	1.47	NA	TP	NA		4.3	4.3	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Lake-S	4	11.20	1.29	NA	TP	NA		3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Longa	3	3.67	0.56	NA	TP	NA		2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Mayhew-E	3	1.72	0.28	NA	TP	NA		1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Mayhew-W	3	0.65	0.14	NA	TP	NA		0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Miriam	4	2.22	0.51	NA	TP	NA		2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Rennie-N	2	0.36	0.08	NA	TP	NA		0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Rennie-S	3	1.49	0.22	NA	TP	NA		0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Richards	3	9.54	0.27	NA	TP	NA		1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Shore	3	6.28	1.06	NA	TP	NA		3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Carter-W	2	1.35	0.38	NA	TP	NA		1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0%
		TOTAL	57.01	7.25				TOTAL	25.9	25.9	0.0	0.0%

#### Date (MM/DD/YYYY): Project Name: Town/City: Impacted Surface Waters: Applicant: DES File #:

7/15/2022 Pike Knoll Shores Drainage Study Merrimack, NH Baboosic Lake

#### POST-DEVELOPMENT

PRE OR POST - DEV	SUB-AREA	POINT OF ANALYSIS NUMBER	AREA (acres)	Effective Impervious Area (acres)	Area Fertilized Annually (acres)	POLLUTANT	PERCENT REDUCTION IN FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATE	BMPS	LOAD (NO BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD (WITH BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO BMPS (lbs/yr)	PERCENT REMOVAL
POST	Arnold	2	2.57	0.31	0.00	TP	0.0%	None proposed	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0%
POST	Carter-E	2	3.69	0.81	0.00	TP	0.0%	Area served by tree boxes	3.0	2.0	1.0	34.0%
POST	Lake-N	1	12.27	1.57	0.00	TP	0.0%	None proposed	4.8	4.8	0.0	0.0%
POST	Lake-S	4	11.20	1.47	0.00	TP	0.0%	None proposed	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0%
POST	Longa	3	3.67	0.52	0.00	TP	0.0%	Entire area served by treatment swale	2.2	1.3	0.8	38.0%
POST	Mayhew-E	3	1.72	0.28	0.00	TP	0.0%	Entire area served by stormwater wetland	1.3	0.6	0.7	55.0%
POST	Mayhew-W	3	0.65	0.15	0.00	TP	0.0%	Entire area served by tree box	0.7	0.4	0.2	34.0%
POST	Miriam	4	2.22	0.51	0.00	TP	0.0%	Served in series by bioretention and stormwater wetland; highest removals (bioretention) were used	2.2	0.8	1.5	65.0%
POST	Rennie-N	2	0.36	0.08	0.00	TP	0.0%	Entire area served by tree box	0.4	0.2	0.1	34.0%
POST	Rennie-S	3	1.49	0.22	0.00	TP	0.0%	Entire Area served by tree box	0.8	0.5	0.3	34.0%
POST	Richards	3	9.54	0.28	0.00	TP	0.0%	None proposed	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0%
POST	Shore	3	6.28	1.12	0.00	ТР	0.0%	50% served by tree boxes/ bioretention (40% at outfall, 10% at Richards)	4.0	3.3	0.7	17.0%
POST	Carter-W	2	1.35	0.41	0.00	TP	0.0%	Area served by hydrodynamic separator	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0%
		TOTAL	57.01	7.74	0.00			TOTAL	28.0	22.7	5.3	19.0%

			Breakdown by Project		Breakdown by Pha	ise
			Reductions based on Roadway Paving:	-2.1	Phase 1	0.5
			Reductions from Tree Boxes:	2.2	Phase 2A	0.9
			Reductions from Hydrodynamic Separator:	0.0	Phase 2B	2.2
			Reductions from Vegetated Swale:	0.8	Phase 3	-0.4
	(603) 271-2304		Reductions from Richards Bioretention:	0.13720151		
	PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095		Reductions from Mayhew Wetland:	0.7		
2020-03-02	www.des.nh.gov	Tab 4 of 6	Reductions from Miriam Park:	1.5		

Date (MM/DD/YYYY):7/15/202Project Name:Pike KnTown/City:MerrimaImpacted Surface Waters:BaboosApplicant:DES File #:

7/15/2022 Pike Knoll Shores Drainage Study Merrimack, NH Baboosic Lake

TOTAL POST DEVELOPMENT - PRE DEVELOPMENT (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE) (Ibs/yr)	-25.4
% DIFFERENCE FROM PRE DEVELOMENT LOADS (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE)	-18.0%
TOTAL REMOVAL EFFICIENCY NEEDED TO MEET PRE-DEVELOPMENT LOAD	3.5%
CURRENTLY PROPOSED REMOVAL EFFICIENCY	20.8%
REMAINING REMOVAL EFFICIENCY NECESSARY TO MEET PRE-DEVELOPMENT LOAD	-17.4%

#### PRE-DEVELOPMENT

PRE OR POST - DEV	SUB-AREA	POINT OF ANALYSIS NUMBER	AREA (acres)	Effective Impervious Area (acres)	Area Fertilized Annually (acres)	POLLUTANT	PERCENT REDUCTION IN FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATE	BMPS	LOAD (NO BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD (WITH BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO BMPS (lbs/yr)	PERCENT REMOVAL
PRE	Arnold	2	2.57	0.30	NA	TN	NA		6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Carter-E	2	3.69	0.70	NA	TN	NA		12.6	12.6	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Lake-N	1	12.27	1.47	NA	TN	NA		28.6	28.6	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Lake-S	4	11.20	1.29	NA	TN	NA		25.2	25.2	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Longa	3	3.67	0.56	NA	TN	NA		10.2	10.2	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Mayhew-E	3	1.72	0.28	NA	TN	NA		5.4	5.4	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Mayhew-W	3	0.65	0.14	NA	TN	NA		2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Miriam	4	2.22	0.51	NA	TN	NA		8.6	8.6	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Rennie-N	2	0.36	0.08	NA	TN	NA		1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Rennie-S	3	1.49	0.22	NA	TN	NA		3.9	3.9	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Richards	3	9.54	0.27	NA	TN	NA		12.2	12.2	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Shore	3	6.28	1.06	NA	TN	NA		18.3	18.3	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Carter-W	2	1.35	0.38	NA	TN	NA		6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0%
		TOTAL	57.01	7.25	-	<u> </u>	-	TOTAL	141.0	141.0	0.0	0.0%

9/28/2022

Date (MM/DD/YYYY): Project Name: Town/City: Impacted Surface Waters: Applicant: DES File #:

#### 7/15/2022 Pike Knoll Shores Drainage Study Merrimack, NH Baboosic Lake

#### POST-DEVELOPMENT

PRE OR POST - DEV	SUB-AREA	POINT OF ANALYSIS NUMBER	AREA (acres)	Effective Impervious Area (acres)	Area Fertilized Annually (acres)	POLLUTANT	PERCENT REDUCTION IN FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATE	BMPS	LOAD (NO BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD (WITH BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO BMPS (lbs/yr)	PERCENT REMOVAL
POST	Arnold	2	2.57	0.31	0.00	TN	0.0%	None proposed	6.4	6.4	0.0	0.0%
POST	Carter-E	2	3.69	0.81	0.00	TN	0.0%	Area served by tree boxes	13.7	4.1	9.6	70.0%
POST	Lake-N	1	12.27	1.57	0.00	TN	0.0%	None proposed	29.7	29.7	0.0	0.0%
POST	Lake-S	4	11.20	1.47	0.00	TN	0.0%	None proposed	27.2	27.2	0.0	0.0%
POST	Longa	3	3.67	0.52	0.00	TN	0.0%	Entire area served by treatment swale	9.6	8.7	0.9	9.0%
POST	Mayhew-E	3	1.72	0.28	0.00	TN	0.0%	Entire area served by stormwater wetland	5.4	3.0	2.4	45.0%
POST	Mayhew-W	3	0.65	0.15	0.00	TN	0.0%	Entire area served by tree box	2.2	0.7	1.5	70.0%
POST	Miriam	4	2.22	0.51	0.00	TN	0.0%	Served in series by bioretention and stormwater wetland; highest removals (bioretention) were used	8.6	3.0	5.6	65.0%
POST	Rennie-N	2	0.36	0.08	0.00	TN	0.0%	Entire area served by tree box	1.4	0.4	1.0	70.0%
POST	Rennie-S	3	1.49	0.22	0.00	TN	0.0%	Entire Area served by tree box	3.9	1.2	2.8	70.0%
POST	Richards	3	9.54	0.28	0.00	TN	0.0%	None proposed	12.3	12.3	0.0	0.0%
POST	Shore	3	6.28	1.12	0.00	TN	0.0%	50% served by tree boxes/ bioretention (40% at outfall, 10% at Richards)	19.1	12.4	6.7	35.0%
POST	Carter-W	2	1.35	0.41	0.00	TN	0.0%	Area served by hydrodynamic separator	6.6	6.6	0.0	0.0%
		TOTAL	57.01	7.74	0.00			TOTAL	146.1	115.7	30.5	20.8%

			Breakdown by Project		Breakdown by F	hase
			Reductions based on Roadway Paving:	-5.1	Phase 1	9.0
			Reductions from Tree Boxes:	20.2	Phase 2A	4.4
			Reductions from Hydrodynamic Separator:	0.0	Phase 2B	8.0
			Reductions from Vegetated Swale:	0.9	Phase 3	4.0
	(603) 271-2304		Reductions from Richards Bioretention:	1.335160672		
	PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095		Reductions from Mayhew Wetland:	2.4		
2020-03-02	www.des.nh.gov	Tab 5 of 6	Reductions from Miriam Park:	5.6		

Date (MM/DD/YYYY):7/15/2022Project Name:Pike KnollTown/City:MerrimackImpacted Surface Waters:BaboosicApplicant:DES File #:

Pike Knoll Shores Drainage Study Merrimack, NH Baboosic Lake

TOTAL POST DEVELOPMENT - PRE DEVELOPMENT (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE) (Ibs/yr)	-7871.4
% DIFFERENCE FROM PRE DEVELOMENT LOADS (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE)	-63.0%
TOTAL REMOVAL EFFICIENCY NEEDED TO MEET PRE-DEVELOPMENT LOAD	-57.3%
CURRENTLY PROPOSED REMOVAL EFFICIENCY	41.8%
REMAINING REMOVAL EFFICIENCY NECESSARY TO MEET PRE-DEVELOPMENT LOAD	-99.2%

#### PRE-DEVELOPMENT

PRE OR POST - DEV	SUB-AREA	POINT OF ANALYSIS NUMBER	AREA (acres)	Effective Impervious Area (acres)	Area Fertilized Annually (acres)	POLLUTANT	PERCENT REDUCTION IN FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATE	BMPS	LOAD (NO BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD (WITH BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO BMPS (lbs/yr)	PERCENT REMOVAL
PRE	Arnold	2	2.57	0.30	NA	TSS	NA		397.2	397.2	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Carter-E	2	3.69	0.70	NA	TSS	NA		650.5	650.5	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Lake-N	1	12.27	1.47	NA	TSS	NA		2063.6	2063.6	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Lake-S	4	11.20	1.29	NA	TSS	NA		1380.8	1380.8	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Longa	3	3.67	0.56	NA	TSS	NA		1700.9	1700.9	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Mayhew-E	3	1.72	0.28	NA	TSS	NA		840.9	840.9	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Mayhew-W	3	0.65	0.14	NA	TSS	NA		536.4	536.4	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Miriam	4	2.22	0.51	NA	TSS	NA		1579.4	1579.4	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Rennie-N	2	0.36	0.08	NA	TSS	NA		223.8	223.8	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Rennie-S	3	1.49	0.22	NA	TSS	NA		527.9	527.9	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Richards	3	9.54	0.27	NA	TSS	NA		678.8	678.8	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Shore	3	6.28	1.06	NA	TSS	NA		1469.5	1469.5	0.0	0.0%
PRE	Carter-W	2	1.35	0.38	NA	TSS	NA		440.1	440.1	0.0	0.0%
	-	TOTAL	57.01	7.25		-	-	TOTAL	12489.5	12489.5	0.0	0.0%
Date (MM/DD/YYYY):	7/15/2022											
--------------------------	----------------------------------											
Project Name:	Pike Knoll Shores Drainage Study											
Town/City:	Merrimack, NH											
Impacted Surface Waters:	Baboosic Lake											
Applicant:												
DES File #:												

TOTAL POST DEVELOPMENT - PRE DEVELOPMENT (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE) (lbs/yr)	-7871.4
% DIFFERENCE FROM PRE DEVELOMENT LOADS (SHOULD BE 0 OR NEGATIVE)	-63.0%
TOTAL REMOVAL EFFICIENCY NEEDED TO MEET PRE-DEVELOPMENT LOAD	-57.3%
CURRENTLY PROPOSED REMOVAL EFFICIENCY	41.8%
REMAINING REMOVAL EFFICIENCY NECESSARY TO MEET PRE-DEVELOPMENT LOAD	-99.2%

#### POST-DEVELOPMENT

PRE OR POST - DEV	SUB-AREA	POINT OF ANALYSIS NUMBER	AREA (acres)	Effective Impervious Area (acres)	Area Fertilized Annually (acres)	POLLUTANT	PERCENT REDUCTION IN FERTILIZER APPLICATION RATE	BMPS	LOAD (NO BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD (WITH BMPS) (Ibs/yr)	LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO BMPS (lbs/yr)	PERCENT REMOVAL
POST	Arnold	2	2.57	0.31	0.00	TSS	NA	None proposed	217.0	217.0	0.0	0.0%
POST	Carter-E	2	3.69	0.81	0.00	TSS	NA	Area served by tree boxes	788.1	110.3	677.8	86.0%
POST	Lake-N	1	12.27	1.57	0.00	TSS	NA	None proposed	1529.7	1529.7	0.0	0.0%
POST	Lake-S	4	11.20	1.47	0.00	TSS	NA	None proposed	1239.7	1239.7	0.0	0.0%
POST	Longa	3	3.67	0.52	0.00	TSS	NA	Entire area served by treatment swale	600.4	114.1	486.3	81.0%
POST	Mayhew-E	3	1.72	0.28	0.00	TSS	NA	Entire area served by stormwater wetland	329.9	66.0	263.9	80.0%
POST	Mayhew-W	3	0.65	0.15	0.00	TSS	NA	Entire area served by tree box	212.7	29.8	182.9	86.0%
POST	Miriam	4	2.22	0.51	0.00	TSS	NA	NA Served in series by bioretention and stormwater wetland; highest removals (bioretention) were used		59.9	538.8	90.0%
POST	Rennie-N	2	0.36	0.08	0.00	TSS	NA	Entire area served by tree box	92.1	12.9	79.2	86.0%
POST	Rennie-S	3	1.49	0.22	0.00	TSS	NA	Entire Area served by tree box	215.2	30.1	185.0	86.0%
POST	Richards	3	9.54	0.28	0.00	TSS	NA	None proposed	409.7	409.7	0.0	0.0%
POST	Shore	3	6.28	1.12	0.00	TSS	NA	50% served by tree boxes/ bioretention (40% at outfall, 10% at Richards)	1237.8	705.5	532.2	43.0%
		TOTAL	57.01	7.74	0.00		-	TOTAL	7937.8	4618.1	3319.7	41.8%
							Breakdown by Project				Breakdown by Phas	е
							Reductions based on Roadway Paving:		4552		Phase 1	1097.7
							Reductions from Tree Boxes:		1551		Phase 2A	2233.6
							Reductions from Hydrodynamic Separator:		373		Phase 2B	3366.0
		(00					Reductions from Vege	tated Swale:	486		Phase 3	905.0
		(60	3) 271-2304				Reductions from Richa	ards Bioretention:	106			
		PO Box 95, Co	ncord, NH 03302	2-0095			Reductions from Mayh	new Wetland:	264			
2020-03-02 www.des.nh.gov			Tab 6 of 6	Reductions from Miria	m Park:	539						

			Breakdown by Project		Breakdo	own by Phase
			Reductions based on Roadway Paving:	4552	Phase 1	1097.7
			Reductions from Tree Boxes:	1551	Phase 2A	. 2233.6
			Reductions from Hydrodynamic Separator:	373	Phase 2B	3366.0
			Reductions from Vegetated Swale:	486	Phase 3	905.0
	(603) 271-2304		Reductions from Richards Bioretention:	106		
	PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095		Reductions from Mayhew Wetland:	264		
2020-03-02	www.des.nh.gov	Tab 6 of 6	Reductions from Miriam Park:	539		

## Appendix E – Subdivision Traffic Analysis & Recommendations



**To:** File

CC:

AECOM 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 aecom.com

Project name: Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study

**Project ref:** 60680349

From: Arianna Seguin, PE & Glenn Stevens, PE

Date: September 28, 2022

DRAFT

### Memorandum

#### Subject: Pine Knoll Shores Subdivison Traffic Assessment & Recommendations

As part of the Baboosic Lake - Pine Knoll Shores Subdivision Drainage Study located in Merrimack, New Hampshire, , AECOM has proposed roadway pavement and drainage improvements to reduce sediment and nutrient loading to the Lake. To support this recommendation, AECOM investigated the traffic flow of the various local roadways within the development off of Baboosic Lake Road to support signage and directionality recommendations. When performing the traffic evaluation, AECOM considered two major components during the review to improve vehicular and pedestrian safety. The first consideration was reviewing the existing roadway widths within the town right-of-way and evaluate the potential of one-way traffic flow within the development. The second consideration was reviewing the horizontal sight distances for visual clearance from the local roadway to Baboosic Lake Road.

As shown in **Figure E-1**, AECOM in conjunction with the Town of Merrimack DPW have proposed to maintain the existing two-way traffic flow on Carter Avenue, Shore Drive, Thomas Road, and Donald Road with a 24-foot paved cross section. In addition, the recommendations also included converting existing two-way roadways to 16-foot one-way roadways on the following roadways:

- Miriam Road
- Arnold Road
- Mayhew Road
- Longa Road
- Rennie Road
- Richards Road

The directionality of these roadways was determined not only by using the existing roadway width configurations, but also the horizontal sight distances for the roadways intersecting with Baboosic Lake Road. Merrimack DPW and Engineering Department officials provided horizontal sight distances which AECOM reviewed, verified, and summarized in **Table E-1**.

Intersecting Roadway	Sight Distance to North (feet)	Sight Distance to South (feet)	
Longa Road	475	600	_
Mayhew Road	67*	600	_
Carter Road	157	261	_
Miriam Road	222	259	_
Thomas Road	324	244	_

#### Table E-1. Baboosic Lake Road Horizontal Sight Distance

\* Large Oak Tree in northwest quadrant



Figure E-1 Proposed Road Directionality and Signage

Using the existing roadway widths and horizontal sight distances, the following roadway directionalities were determined as listed below:

Roadway	Traffic Flow Direction	Justifications
Miriam Road	Traffic flowing west from Baboosic Lake Rd toward the lake	Reduced sight distance Roadway width < 30 feet
Arnold Road	Traffic flowing north from Carter Rd to the west at Shore Drive intersection	Roadway width < 20 feet
Mayhew Road	Traffic flowing west from Baboosic Lake Rd toward the lake	Reduced sight distance Roadway width < 30 feet
Longa Road	Traffic flowing east towards Baboosic Lake Rd from the lake	Best sight distance at Baboosic Lake Rd Roadway width < 20-30 feet
Rennie Road	Traffic Flowing south from Carter Rd towards Richards Rd	Roadway width < 25 feet
Richards Road	Traffic flowing west from Rennie Rd towards Shore Dr	Roadway width < 20 feet

#### Table E-2. Subdivision Roadway Traffic Flow

The recommendation for Miriam Road and Mayhew Road to be modified to one-way west traffic flow was due to reduced sight distance at the intersections with Baboosic Lake Road when compared with other adjacent streets in the development whereas Longa Road is proposed with one-way easterly traffic flow with the best sight distance. All other roadway directionalities listed in **Table E-2** are proposed based on the overall circulation of traffic within the subdivision. Lastly, AECOM has included additional recommended signage shown on **Figure E-1** in conjunction with the proposed roadway widths and new recommended directionalities. All proposed signs are in compliance with the latest edition of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) improving traffic flow and roadway safety.

# Appendix F – Hydraulics Analysis

- F-1. HydroCAD Model Files & Documentation
- F-2. Manning's Capacity Calculation



Rainfall Events Listing (	selected events)
---------------------------	------------------

Event#	Event	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration	B/B	Depth	AMC
	Name				(hours)		(inches)	
1	25-yr+15%	NRCC_Merr 24-hr S1	25-yr	Default	24.00	1	6.28	2

#### Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
1.905	98	(3S, 9S)
3.117	69	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG B (lawn) (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 5S, 7S, 8S, 9S, 16S, 17S)
0.173	98	Bldg (8S)
0.373	98	Building (5S, 7S, 16S, 17S)
0.776	98	Buildings (1S, 2S, 4S, 6S)
0.261	98	Driveway (2S)
0.068	85	Gravel roads, HSG B (3S, 9S)
0.487	98	Paved Driveway (1S, 5S, 6S, 7S, 17S)
0.429	98	Paved Driveways (4S, 8S)
0.442	98	Paved Rd (5S, 6S, 17S)
0.951	98	Paved Road (1S, 2S, 7S, 16S)
0.446	98	Paved Roads (4S, 8S)
23.087	58	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG B (open area) (1S, 2S, 3S, 4S, 6S, 7S, 8S, 9S, 16S,
		17S)
1.028	58	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG B (open) (5S)
33.543	67	TOTAL AREA

PR\_Alt1\_Ver5

Prepared by AECOM HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 11/8/2022 Page 4

Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG	-A HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatchment
(acre	s) (acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Numbers
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.905	1.905		3S, 9S
0.00	00 3.117	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.117	50-75% Grass cover, Fair	1S, 2S, 3S,
							4S, 5S, 7S,
							8S, 9S,
							16S, 17S
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.173	0.173	Bldg	8S
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.373	0.373	Building	5S, 7S,
							16S, 17S
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.776	0.776	Buildings	1S, 2S, 4S,
							6S
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.261	0.261	Driveway	2S
0.00	0.068	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.068	Gravel roads	3S, 9S
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.487	0.487	Paved Driveway	1S, 5S, 6S,
							7S, 17S
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.429	0.429	Paved Driveways	4S, 8S
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.442	0.442	Paved Rd	5S, 6S, 17S
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.951	0.951	Paved Road	1S, 2S, 7S,
							16S
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.446	0.446	Paved Roads	4S, 8S
0.00	0 24.115	0.000	0.000	0.000	24.115	Woods/grass comb., Good	1S, 2S, 3S,
							4S, 5S, 6S,
							7S, 8S, 9S,
							16S, 17S
0.0	0 27.300	0.000	0.000	6.243	33.543	TOTAL AREA	

PR\_Alt1\_Ver5

Prepared by AECOM HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Pipe Listing (all nodes)

Line#	Node Number	In-Invert (feet)	Out-Invert (feet)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	n	Width (inches)	Diam/Height (inches)	Inside-Fill (inches)
1	1S	0.00	0.00	15.0	0.0275	0.025	0.0	12.0	0.0
2	12R	321.30	285.10	845.0	0.0428	0.009	0.0	12.0	0.0
3	13R	285.10	283.86	225.0	0.0055	0.009	0.0	18.0	0.0
4	14R	283.86	272.77	260.0	0.0427	0.009	0.0	24.0	0.0
5	17R	326.80	289.80	640.0	0.0578	0.009	0.0	12.0	0.0
6	19R	323.00	294.50	450.0	0.0633	0.009	0.0	12.0	0.0
7	20R	294.50	289.80	190.0	0.0247	0.009	0.0	24.0	0.0
8	22R	297.80	294.50	235.0	0.0140	0.012	0.0	24.0	0.0
9	24R	289.80	283.86	150.0	0.0396	0.009	0.0	24.0	0.0
10	25R	272.77	250.80	210.0	0.1046	0.009	0.0	24.0	0.0

 PR\_Alt1\_Ver5
 NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

 Prepared by AECOM
 Printed 11/8/2022

 HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC
 Page 6

#### Time span=0.00-40.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 801 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: ARNOLD	Runoff Area=2.570 ac 17.32% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.65" Flow Length=747' Tc=24.8 min CN=66 Runoff=4.16 cfs 0.567 af
Subcatchment 2S: CARTER-E	Runoff Area=3.694 ac 28.88% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.13" Flow Length=646' Tc=22.3 min CN=71 Runoff=7.55 cfs 0.962 af
Subcatchment 3S: CARTER-W	Runoff Area=1.354 ac 38.63% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.62" Flow Length=227' Tc=17.6 min CN=76 Runoff=3.59 cfs 0.409 af
Subcatchment 4S: LONGA	Runoff Area=3.666 ac 17.46% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.65" Flow Length=668' Tc=20.7 min CN=66 Runoff=6.45 cfs 0.809 af
Subcatchment 5S: MAYHEW-E	Runoff Area=1.720 ac 23.55% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.93" Flow Length=212' Tc=17.8 min CN=69 Runoff=3.63 cfs 0.420 af
Subcatchment 6S: MAYHEW-W	Runoff Area=0.646 ac 27.24% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.93" Flow Length=315' Tc=20.1 min CN=69 Runoff=1.29 cfs 0.158 af
Subcatchment 7S: MIRIAM	Runoff Area=2.222 ac 29.21% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.13" Flow Length=427' Tc=25.1 min CN=71 Runoff=4.29 cfs 0.579 af
Subcatchment 8S: RICHARDS	Runoff Area=9.544 ac 6.16% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.20" Flow Length=1,428' Tc=26.2 min CN=61 Runoff=12.02 cfs 1.746 af
Subcatchment 9S: SHORE	Runoff Area=6.281 ac 22.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.84" Flow Length=451' Tc=20.2 min CN=68 Runoff=12.07 cfs 1.485 af
Subcatchment 16S: RENNIE-N	Runoff Area=0.359 ac 23.12% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.93" Flow Length=174' Tc=14.3 min CN=69 Runoff=0.83 cfs 0.088 af
Subcatchment 17S: RENNIE-S	Runoff Area=1.487 ac 19.17% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.65" Flow Length=386' Tc=18.2 min CN=66 Runoff=2.78 cfs 0.328 af
Reach 12R: C-Miriam 12.0" Round Pipe n=0.009	Avg. Flow Depth=0.44' Max Vel=12.81 fps Inflow=4.29 cfs 0.579 af L=845.0' S=0.0428 '/' Capacity=10.65 cfs Outflow=4.25 cfs 0.579 af
Reach 13R: C-Arnold 18.0" Round Pipe n=0.009	Avg. Flow Depth=0.96' Max Vel=6.98 fps Inflow=8.39 cfs 1.146 af L=225.0' S=0.0055 '/' Capacity=11.26 cfs Outflow=8.35 cfs 1.146 af
Reach 14R: C-Carter-W 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.009 I	Avg. Flow Depth=1.13' Max Vel=22.55 fps Inflow=41.40 cfs 6.067 af =260.0' S=0.0427 '/' Capacity=67.49 cfs Outflow=41.25 cfs 6.067 af
Reach 17R: C-Carter-E 12.0" Round Pipe n=0.009	Avg. Flow Depth=0.56' Max Vel=16.50 fps Inflow=7.55 cfs 0.962 af L=640.0' S=0.0578 '/' Capacity=12.37 cfs Outflow=7.49 cfs 0.962 af
Reach 18R: D-Mayhew n=0.150	Avg. Flow Depth=0.69' Max Vel=1.26 fps Inflow=3.63 cfs 0.420 af L=275.1' S=0.0482 '/' Capacity=7.74 cfs Outflow=3.46 cfs 0.420 af

PR\_Alt1\_Ver5 NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28" Prepared by AECOM Printed 11/8/2022 HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 7 Avg. Flow Depth=0.41' Max Vel=15.14 fps Inflow=4.67 cfs 0.578 af Reach 19R: C-Mayhew 12.0" Round Pipe n=0.009 L=450.0' S=0.0633 '/ Capacity=12.95 cfs Outflow=4.64 cfs 0.578 af Reach 20R: C-Rennie-N Avg. Flow Depth=0.95' Max Vel=15.96 fps Inflow=23.36 cfs 3.550 af 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.009 L=190.0' S=0.0247 '/' Capacity=51.39 cfs Outflow=23.33 cfs 3.550 af Reach 21R: D-Longa Avg. Flow Depth=0.88' Max Vel=1.39 fps Inflow=6.45 cfs 0.809 af n=0.150 L=725.6' S=0.0447 '/' Capacity=7.46 cfs Outflow=5.63 cfs 0.809 af Avg. Flow Depth=1.16' Max Vel=9.80 fps Inflow=18.54 cfs 2.884 af Reach 22R: C-Rennie-S 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.012 L=235.0' S=0.0140 '/' Capacity=29.04 cfs Outflow=18.51 cfs 2.884 af Reach 24R: C-Carter-C Avg. Flow Depth=0.96' Max Vel=20.35 fps Inflow=30.38 cfs 4.512 af 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.009 L=150.0' S=0.0396 '/' Capacity=65.03 cfs Outflow=30.36 cfs 4.512 af Avg. Flow Depth=0.87' Max Vel=31.56 fps Inflow=41.25 cfs 6.067 af Reach 25R: C-Carter-O 24.0" Round Pipe n=0.009 L=210.0' S=0.1046 '/' Capacity=105.69 cfs Outflow=41.22 cfs 6.067 af Link 15L: BAB Inflow=52.16 cfs 7.552 af Primary=52.16 cfs 7.552 af

> Total Runoff Area = 33.543 ac Runoff Volume = 7.552 af Average Runoff Depth = 2.70" 81.39% Pervious = 27.300 ac 18.61% Impervious = 6.243 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1S: ARNOLD

Runoff = 4.16 cfs @ 12.31 hrs, Volume= Routed to Reach 13R : C-Arnold 0.567 af, Depth= 2.65"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

	Area (ac) CN		Desc	cription						
*	0.	224	98	Build	Buildings					
*	0.083 98		Pave	Paved Road						
*	0.138 98		Pave	Paved Driveway						
*	0.	260	69	50-7	50-75% Grass cover Fair HSG B (lawn)					
*	1.	865	58	Woo	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG B (open area)					
	2.	570	66	Weig	phted Aver	age				
	2.	125		82.6	8% Pervio	us Area				
	0.	445		17.3	2% Imperv	vious Area				
	Тс	Length	۱	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
	(min)	(feet	)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
	16.7	100	0 0	.0412	0.10		Sheet Flow,			
							Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96"			
	7.5	533	30	.0564	1.19		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
							Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
	0.5	99	90	.0348	3.12	6.24	Channel Flow, Assume 6"hx3'bx2H:1V			
							Area= 2.0 sf Perim= 10.2' r= 0.20' n= 0.030			
	0.1	15	50	.0275	3.91	3.07	Pipe Channel, assume 12" CMP from depression to CB			
							12.0" Round Area= 0.8 sf Perim= 3.1' r= 0.25'			
							n= 0.025 Corrugated metal			
	24.8	747	7 T	otal						

HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Subcatchment 1S: ARNOLD



#### Summary for Subcatchment 2S: CARTER-E

Runoff = 7.55 cfs @ 12.27 hrs, Volume= Routed to Reach 17R : C-Carter-E 0.962 af, Depth= 3.13"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

_	Area	(ac) (	CN	Desc	ription				
*	0.	358	98	Build	lings				
*	0.	448	98	Pave	ed Road				
*	0.	261	98	Drive	eway				
*	0.	527	69	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)		
*	2.	100	58	Woo	ds/grass c	omb., Goo	d, HSG B (open area)		
	3.694 71 Weighted Average								
	2.	627		71.12	2% Pervio	us Area			
	1.067 28.88% Impervious Ar				3% Imperv	vious Area			
	Tc	Length	S	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
_	(min)	(feet)		<u>(ft/ft)</u>	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
	14.3	100	0.0	0607	0.12		Sheet Flow,		
	8.0	546	0.0	0523	1.14		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96" Shallow Concentrated Flow,		
							Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps		

22.3 646 Total

#### Subcatchment 2S: CARTER-E





#### Summary for Subcatchment 3S: CARTER-W

Runoff 3.59 cfs @ 12.20 hrs, Volume= = Routed to Reach 14R : C-Carter-W

0.409 af, Depth= 3.62"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

	Area (	(ac) C	CN	Desc	ription		
*	0.1	171	98				
*	0.2	221	98				
*	0.1	131	98				
	0.0	022	85	Grav	el roads, ł	HSG B	
*	0.2	270	69	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)
*	0.	539	58	Woo	ds/grass c	omb., Goo	d, HSG B (open area)
	1.3	354	76	Weig	hted Aver	age	
	0.831		61.37	7% Pervio	us Area		
	0.	523		38.63	3% Imperv	vious Area	
	Тс	Length	S	lope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	15.5	100	0.0	0495	0.11		Sheet Flow,
							Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96"
	2.1	127	0.0	0405	1.01		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
							Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	17.6	227	То	otal			

#### 227 Total

#### Subcatchment 3S: CARTER-W



#### Summary for Subcatchment 4S: LONGA

6.45 cfs @ 12.25 hrs, Volume= Runoff = Routed to Reach 21R : D-Longa

0.809 af, Depth= 2.65"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

	Area	(ac) (	CN	Desc	ription		
*	0.	180	98	Build	ings		
*	0.	341	98	Pave	d Roads		
*	0.	119	98	Pave	d Drivewa	ays	
*	0.	285	69	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)
*	2.	741	58	Woo	ds/grass c	comb., Goo	d, HSG B (open area)
	3.	666	66	Weig	hted Aver	age	
	3.026			82.54	4% Pervio	us Area	
	0.640			17.46% Impervious Area			
	Тс	Length	n S	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	15.2	100	0.0	0526	0.11		Sheet Flow,
							Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96"
	3.8	274	0.	0593	1.22		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
							Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	1.7	294	0.	0282	2.81	5.61	Channel Flow, Assume 6"hx3'bx2H:1V
							Area= 2.0 sf Perim= 10.2' r= 0.20'
							n= 0.030 Earth, grassed & winding
	20.7	668	8 To	otal			

Prepared by AECOM HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC





#### Summary for Subcatchment 5S: MAYHEW-E

Runoff 3.63 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= = Routed to Reach 18R : D-Mayhew

0.420 af, Depth= 2.93"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

	Area (	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription						
*	0.	092	98	Build	ling						
*	0.	189	98	Pave	aved Rd						
*	0.	124	98	Pave	Paved Driveway						
*	0.2	287	69	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)				
*	1.0	028	58	Woo	ds/grass c	comb., Goo	d, HSG B (open)				
	1.720 69 Weighted Average										
	1.3	315		76.4	5% Pervio	us Area					
	0.4	405		23.5	5% Imper\	vious Area					
	Тс	Length	າ S	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
	<u>(min)</u>	(feet	)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
	16.0	100	) 0.	0461	0.10		Sheet Flow,				
	1.8	112	2 0.	0437	1.05		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96" <b>Shallow Concentrated Flow,</b> Woodland Ky= 5.0 fps				

212 Total 17.8

#### Subcatchment 5S: MAYHEW-E





#### Summary for Subcatchment 6S: MAYHEW-W

1.29 cfs @ 12.24 hrs, Volume= Runoff = Routed to Reach 19R : C-Mayhew

0.158 af, Depth= 2.93"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription							
*	0.	014 9	98 Buil	dings							
*	0.	138 9	98 Pav	aved Rd							
*	0.	024 9	98 Pav	aved Driveway							
*	0.	000	59 50- <sup>-</sup>	75% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)					
*	0.	470 :	58 Wo	ods/grass o	comb., Goo	d, HSG B (open area)					
	0.	646 (	59 We	ighted Avei	rage						
	0.	470	72.7	76% Pervio	us Area						
	0.176		27.2	24% Imperv	ious Area						
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description					
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)						
	19.1	100	0.0295	0.09		Sheet Flow,					
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96"					
	0.2	27	0.1356	1.84		Shallow Concentrated Flow,					
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps					
	0.8	188	0.0510	3.78	7.55	Channel Flow, Assume 6"hx3'bx2H:1V					
_						Area= 2.0 sf Perim= 10.2' r= 0.20' n= 0.030					
	20.1	315	Total								

Hydrograph - Runoff 1.29 cfs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28" Runoff Area=0.646 ac 1 Runoff Volume=0.158 af Flow (cfs) Runoff Depth=2.93" Flow Length=315' Tc=20.1 min **CN=69** 0

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 Time (hours)

#### Subcatchment 6S: MAYHEW-W

#### Summary for Subcatchment 7S: MIRIAM

Runoff = 4.29 cfs @ 12.31 hrs, Volume= Routed to Reach 12R : C-Miriam

0.579 af, Depth= 3.13"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

_	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	ription						
*	0.	147	98	Build	uilding						
*	0.	364	98	Pave	aved Road						
*	0.	138	98	Pave	aved Driveway						
*	0.	362	69	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)				
*	1.	211	58	Woo	ds/grass c	omb., Goo	d, HSG B (open area)				
2.222 71 Weighted Average											
1.573 70.79% Pervious Area											
	0.649 29.21% lm				1% Imperv	vious Area					
	Тс	Length	n S	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
_	(min)	(feet	)	<u>(ft/ft)</u>	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
	19.2	100	) ().	0291	0.09		Sheet Flow,				
							Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96"				
	5.9	327	0.0	0339	0.92		Shallow Concentrated Flow,				
							Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps				

25.1 427 Total

#### Subcatchment 7S: MIRIAM



#### Printed 11/8/2022 Page 18

#### Summary for Subcatchment 8S: RICHARDS

12.02 cfs @ 12.34 hrs, Volume= Runoff = Routed to Reach 22R : C-Rennie-S

1.746 af, Depth= 2.20"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	0.	173 9	98 Bldg	)		
*	0.	105 9	98 Pav	ed Roads		
*	0.	310 9	98 Pav	ed Drivewa	ays	
*	0.	528 (	69 50-7	'5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)
*	8.	428	58 Woo	ods/grass o	comb., Goo	d, HSG B (open area)
	9.	544 (	61 Wei	ghted Avei	rage	
	8.	956	93.8	4% Pervio	us Area	
	0.588		6.16	% Impervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	14.3	100	0.0607	0.12		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96"
	5.6	339	0.0402	1.00		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	6.3	989	0.0245	2.62	5.23	Channel Flow, Assume 6"hx3'bx2H:1V
						Area= 2.0 sf Perim= 10.2' r= 0.20' n= 0.030
_						

Prepared by AECOM HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



#### Subcatchment 8S: RICHARDS

#### Summary for Subcatchment 9S: SHORE

Runoff = 12.07 cfs @ 12.24 hrs, Volume= Routed to Link 15L : BAB

1.485 af, Depth= 2.84"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

	Area (	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
*	0.	502	98			
*	0.	577 9	98			
*	0.3	303 9	98			
	0.0	046 8	35 Gra	vel roads, l	HSG B	
*	0.4	453 (	59 50-7	75% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)
*	4.4	400	58 Wo	ods/grass o	comb., Goo	d, HSG B (open area)
	6.2	281 (	68 Wei	ghted Ave	rage	
	4.899		78.0	0% Pervio	us Area	
	1.382		22.0	0% Imperv	vious Area	
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	16.2	100	0.0449	0.10		Sheet Flow,
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96"
	4.0	351	0.0839	1.45		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	20.2	451	Total			

#### Subcatchment 9S: SHORE

Hydrograph



#### Summary for Subcatchment 16S: RENNIE-N

Runoff 0.83 cfs @ 12.16 hrs, Volume= = Routed to Reach 20R : C-Rennie-N

0.088 af, Depth= 2.93"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

_	Area	(ac) C	CN	Desc	ription						
*	0.	027	98	Build	Building						
*	0.	056	98	Pave	aved Road						
*	0.	000	98	Pave	d Drivewa	ay					
*	0.	063	69	50-75	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)				
*	0.	213	58	Woo	ds/grass c	omb., Goo	d, HSG B (open area)				
	0.	359	69	Weig	hted Aver	age					
0.276 76.88% Pervious Area						us Area					
	0.083			23.12	2% Imperv	vious Area					
					-						
	Тс	Length	SI	ope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
	(min)	(feet)	(f	ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
	13.3	100	0.0	737	0.13		Sheet Flow,				
	1.0	74	0.0	584	1.21		Woods: Light underbrush $n= 0.400 P2= 2.96"$ Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps				

14.3 174 Total

#### Subcatchment 16S: RENNIE-N





#### Summary for Subcatchment 17S: RENNIE-S

Runoff 2.78 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= = Routed to Reach 22R : C-Rennie-S

0.328 af, Depth= 2.65"

Page 22

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs NRCC\_Merr 24-hr S1 25-yr 25-yr+15% Rainfall=6.28"

	Area	(ac) C	N E	Desc	ription							
*	0.	107	98 E	Build	ing							
*	0.	115	98 F	Pave	Paved Rd							
*	0.	063	98 F	Pave	d Drivewa	ay						
*	0.	082	69 5	50-75	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG B (lawn)					
*	1.	120	58 \	Noo	ds/grass c	omb., Goo	d, HSG B (open area)					
1.487 66 Weighted Average												
1.202 80.83% Pervious Area						us Area						
	0.285			19.17	7% Imperv	vious Area						
	Tc	Length	Slo	pe	Velocity	Capacity	Description					
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft	t/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)						
	13.9	100	0.06	658	0.12		Sheet Flow,					
							Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 2.96"					
	4.3	286	0.04	190	1.11		Shallow Concentrated Flow,					
							Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps					

18.2 386 Total

#### Subcatchment 17S: RENNIE-S



#### Summary for Reach 12R: C-Miriam

Pipe reach which runs along Miriam Rd to the intersection with Arnold Rd, said to begin 150ft from the watershed boundary

 Inflow Area =
 2.222 ac, 29.21% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 3.13" for 25-yr+15% event

 Inflow =
 4.29 cfs @
 12.31 hrs, Volume=
 0.579 af

 Outflow =
 4.25 cfs @
 12.34 hrs, Volume=
 0.579 af, Atten= 1%, Lag= 2.0 min

 Routed to Reach 13R : C-Arnold
 0.579 af, Atten= 1%, Lag= 2.0 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 12.81 fps, Min. Travel Time= 1.1 min Avg. Velocity = 5.45 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 2.6 min

Peak Storage= 282 cf @ 12.32 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.44' , Surface Width= 0.99' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 0.8 sf, Capacity= 10.65 cfs

12.0" Round Pipe n= 0.009 Length= 845.0' Slope= 0.0428 '/' Inlet Invert= 321.30', Outlet Invert= 285.10'



Prepared by AECOM HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Reach 12R: C-Miriam



#### Summary for Reach 13R: C-Arnold

Pipe which runs from the intersection with miriam to the intersection with Carter

Inflow Area =4.792 ac, 22.83% Impervious, Inflow Depth =2.87" for 25-yr+15% eventInflow =8.39 cfs @12.32 hrs, Volume=1.146 afOutflow =8.35 cfs @12.34 hrs, Volume=1.146 af, Atten= 1%, Lag= 1.1 minRouted to Reach 14R : C-Carter-W1.146 af, Atten= 1%, Lag= 1.1 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 6.98 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.5 min Avg. Velocity = 3.01 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 1.2 min

Peak Storage= 270 cf @ 12.33 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.96', Surface Width= 1.44' Bank-Full Depth= 1.50' Flow Area= 1.8 sf, Capacity= 11.26 cfs

18.0" Round Pipe n= 0.009 Length= 225.0' Slope= 0.0055 '/' Inlet Invert= 285.10', Outlet Invert= 283.86'



Prepared by AECOM HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Reach 13R: C-Arnold



#### Summary for Reach 14R: C-Carter-W

Pipe which runs along carter from intersection with arnold to the intersection with shore

 Inflow Area =
 27.262 ac, 17.83% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.67" for 25-yr+15% event

 Inflow =
 41.40 cfs @
 12.34 hrs, Volume=
 6.067 af

 Outflow =
 41.25 cfs @
 12.34 hrs, Volume=
 6.067 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.4 min

 Routed to Reach 25R : C-Carter-O
 Content of the second se

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs / 2 Max. Velocity= 22.55 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.2 min Avg. Velocity = 7.51 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.6 min

Peak Storage= 476 cf @ 12.34 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 1.13', Surface Width= 1.98' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 67.49 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.009 Length= 260.0' Slope= 0.0427 '/' Inlet Invert= 283.86', Outlet Invert= 272.77'





### Reach 14R: C-Carter-W

#### Summary for Reach 17R: C-Carter-E

Pipe reach which runs along Carter between intersections with Rennie and Arnold

Inflow Area =3.694 ac, 28.88% Impervious, Inflow Depth =3.13"for 25-yr+15% eventInflow =7.55 cfs @12.27 hrs, Volume=0.962 afOutflow =7.49 cfs @12.29 hrs, Volume=0.962 af, Atten= 1%, Lag= 1.2 minRouted to Reach 24R : C-Carter-C0.962 af, Atten= 1%, Lag= 1.2 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 16.50 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.6 min Avg. Velocity = 7.08 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 1.5 min

Peak Storage= 292 cf @ 12.27 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.56', Surface Width= 0.99' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 0.8 sf, Capacity= 12.37 cfs

12.0" Round Pipe n= 0.009 Length= 640.0' Slope= 0.0578 '/' Inlet Invert= 326.80', Outlet Invert= 289.80'



Prepared by AECOM HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Reach 17R: C-Carter-E


# Summary for Reach 18R: D-Mayhew

Vegetated swale reach running along Mayhew Rd, said to begin 150 from the watershed boundary and end at the town-owned lot where water features will be located

 Inflow Area =
 1.720 ac, 23.55% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.93" for 25-yr+15% event

 Inflow =
 3.63 cfs @
 12.21 hrs, Volume=
 0.420 af

 Outflow =
 3.46 cfs @
 12.32 hrs, Volume=
 0.420 af, Atten= 5%, Lag= 6.6 min

 Routed to Reach 19R : C-Mayhew
 0.420 af, Atten= 5%, Lag= 6.6 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 1.26 fps, Min. Travel Time= 3.7 min Avg. Velocity = 0.44 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 10.5 min

Peak Storage= 765 cf @ 12.26 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.69', Surface Width= 6.11' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 5.0 sf, Capacity= 7.74 cfs

2.00' x 1.00' deep channel, n= 0.150 Side Slope Z-value= 3.0 '/' Top Width= 8.00' Length= 275.1' Slope= 0.0482 '/' Inlet Invert= 338.44', Outlet Invert= 325.19'

‡

HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC





# Summary for Reach 19R: C-Mayhew

Pipe reach running along Mayhew Rd to intersection with Rennie Rd, here said to begin as soon as the vegetated swale ends

 Inflow Area =
 2.366 ac, 24.56% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.93" for 25-yr+15% event

 Inflow =
 4.67 cfs @
 12.30 hrs, Volume=
 0.578 af

 Outflow =
 4.64 cfs @
 12.32 hrs, Volume=
 0.578 af, Atten= 1%, Lag= 0.9 min

 Routed to Reach 20R : C-Rennie-N
 0.578 af, Atten= 1%, Lag= 0.9 min
 0.578 af, Atten= 1%, Lag= 0.9 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 15.14 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.5 min Avg. Velocity = 5.87 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 1.3 min

Peak Storage= 139 cf @ 12.31 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.41', Surface Width= 0.99' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 0.8 sf, Capacity= 12.95 cfs

12.0" Round Pipe n= 0.009 Length= 450.0' Slope= 0.0633 '/' Inlet Invert= 323.00', Outlet Invert= 294.50'







# Summary for Reach 20R: C-Rennie-N

Pipe reach running along Rennie Rd between intersection with Mayhew Rd and intersection with Carter Rd

 Inflow Area =
 17.422 ac, 12.50% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.44"
 for 25-yr+15% event

 Inflow =
 23.36 cfs @
 12.37 hrs, Volume=
 3.550 af

 Outflow =
 23.33 cfs @
 12.37 hrs, Volume=
 3.550 af

 Routed to Reach 24R : C-Carter-C
 3.550 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.3 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 15.96 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.2 min Avg. Velocity = 5.43 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.6 min

Peak Storage= 278 cf @ 12.37 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.95' , Surface Width= 2.00' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 51.39 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.009 Length= 190.0' Slope= 0.0247 '/' Inlet Invert= 294.50', Outlet Invert= 289.80'







# Summary for Reach 21R: D-Longa

Vegegtated swale running along the eastern part of Longa, here said to start 150ft from the edge of the watershed (see CAD files) to intersection with Rennie

 Inflow Area =
 3.666 ac, 17.46% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.65" for 25-yr+15% event

 Inflow =
 6.45 cfs @
 12.25 hrs, Volume=
 0.809 af

 Outflow =
 5.63 cfs @
 12.51 hrs, Volume=
 0.809 af, Atten= 13%, Lag= 15.4 min

 Routed to Reach 22R : C-Rennie-S
 C-Rennie-S
 0.809 af, Atten= 13%, Lag= 15.4 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 1.39 fps, Min. Travel Time= 8.7 min Avg. Velocity = 0.40 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 30.1 min

Peak Storage= 2,951 cf @ 12.36 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.88', Surface Width= 7.27' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 5.0 sf, Capacity= 7.46 cfs

2.00' x 1.00' deep channel, n= 0.150 Side Slope Z-value= 3.0 '/' Top Width= 8.00' Length= 725.6' Slope= 0.0447 '/' Inlet Invert= 335.01', Outlet Invert= 302.54'

‡

HydroCAD® 10.10-6a s/n 00538 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



# Reach 21R: D-Longa

# Summary for Reach 22R: C-Rennie-S

Pipe running along Rennie from the intersection with the east part of Longa to the intersection with Mayhew

 Inflow Area =
 14.697 ac, 10.29% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.35"
 for 25-yr+15% event

 Inflow =
 18.54 cfs @
 12.39 hrs, Volume=
 2.884 af

 Outflow =
 18.51 cfs @
 12.40 hrs, Volume=
 2.884 af

 Routed to Reach 20R : C-Rennie-N
 2.884 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.7 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 9.80 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.4 min Avg. Velocity = 3.31 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 1.2 min

Peak Storage= 445 cf @ 12.39 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 1.16', Surface Width= 1.97' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 29.04 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.012 Length= 235.0' Slope= 0.0140 '/' Inlet Invert= 297.80', Outlet Invert= 294.50'







# Summary for Reach 24R: C-Carter-C

pipe which runs along carter between intersection with rennie and intersection with arnold

 Inflow Area =
 21.116 ac, 15.36% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.56" for 25-yr+15% event

 Inflow =
 30.38 cfs @
 12.35 hrs, Volume=
 4.512 af

 Outflow =
 30.36 cfs @
 12.35 hrs, Volume=
 4.512 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.2 min

 Routed to Reach 14R : C-Carter-W
 Ket and the second secon

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 20.35 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.1 min Avg. Velocity = 6.95 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.4 min

Peak Storage= 224 cf @ 12.35 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.96' , Surface Width= 2.00' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 65.03 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.009 Length= 150.0' Slope= 0.0396 '/' Inlet Invert= 289.80', Outlet Invert= 283.86'





# Reach 24R: C-Carter-C

# Summary for Reach 25R: C-Carter-O

pipe running from intersection of carter and shore to outfall at baboosic

 Inflow Area =
 27.262 ac, 17.83% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.67" for 25-yr+15% event

 Inflow =
 41.25 cfs @
 12.34 hrs, Volume=
 6.067 af

 Outflow =
 41.22 cfs @
 12.35 hrs, Volume=
 6.067 af, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.2 min

 Routed to Link 15L : BAB
 BAB

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 31.56 fps, Min. Travel Time= 0.1 min Avg. Velocity = 10.70 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 0.3 min

Peak Storage= 274 cf @ 12.34 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.87', Surface Width= 1.98' Bank-Full Depth= 2.00' Flow Area= 3.1 sf, Capacity= 105.69 cfs

24.0" Round Pipe n= 0.009 Length= 210.0' Slope= 0.1046 '/' Inlet Invert= 272.77', Outlet Invert= 250.80'



# Reach 25R: C-Carter-O



# Summary for Link 15L: BAB

Inflow <i>J</i>	Area :	=	33.543 ac,	18.61% Imp	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	2.7	'0" for 25-yr-	+15% event
Inflow	=	:	52.16 cfs @	12.32 hrs,	Volume	= 7.552	af		
Primar	У =		52.16 cfs @	12.32 hrs,	Volume	= 7.552	af,	Atten= 0%, La	ag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-40.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link 15L: BAB

Date: 9/28/2022

# AECOM

# Pipe Capacity Check - Manning's Pipe Flow

$Q = \frac{K}{m} A R^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$	Q = Flow rate
	K = 1.486 for USCS unit
$O = \frac{K}{-} A R^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$	n = Manning's roughness coefficient
$Q = \frac{n}{n}$	R = Hydraulic radius
	S = Slope
	A = Area

Parameter	Value	Units	Notes:
Diameter	1.25	ft	Outfall diameter
Perimeter	3.93	ft	Circular pipe, flow full
Area	1.23	ft^2	Circular pipe
R	0.31	ft^2/ft	R = Area/Perimeter
S	0.102	ft/ft	Conservative estimate
Manning's n	0.024		Corrugated Metal Pipe
Q	11.2	cfs	Manning's Equation

# **Appendix G - Cost Breakdown**

# **Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study - Cost Estimation**

Performed by:	DC
Checked by:	CMD

Date: 9/29/2022 Date: 10/3/2022 Rev. 2 10/18/2022



#### Street Reconstruction Costs

Page 1 of 3

	Direction	Proposed	Width	Length		Cost <sup>1,2</sup>	
		Drainage	(ft)	(ft)		(\$)	
Thomas Rd	Two-way		24	660	\$	88,000	
Miriam Rd	Two-way	Conduit	24	1,230	\$	164,000	
Arnold Rd	One-way	Conduit	16	520	\$	46,000	
Carter Rd	Two-way		24	1,500	\$	200,000	
Shore Dr	Two-way	Conduit	24	970	\$	129,000	
Shore Dr	One-way		16	330	\$	29,000	
Mayhew Rd	One-way	Swale	16	1,100	\$	98,000	
Rennie Rd	One-way	Conduit	16	670	\$	60,000	
Longa Rd	One-way	Swale	16	1,600	\$	142,000	
Richards Rd	One-way	Conduit	16	500	\$	44,000	
Donald Rd	Two-way		24	450	\$	60,000	
Subtotal					\$	1,060,000	
General Inflat	ion (10%)				\$	106,000	
Contingency (	Contingency (25%) AND Design (10%) <sup>3</sup>						
Total					\$	1,574,100	

<sup>1</sup>Based on Town of Merrimack standard unit price of \$50/SY for Full Box Reconstruction. Some roads may have suitable material in place making \$20/SY Reclaim and Pave cost more applicable.

<sup>2</sup>Excludes cost of proposed drainage.

<sup>3</sup>Contingency and design includes both the project subtotal and general inflation

# Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study - Cost Estimation

Performed by:	DC	Date:	9/29/2022	Rev. 2
Checked by:	CMD	Date:	10/3/2022	

AECOM

#### Town Land BMP Construction

Page 2 of 3

10/18/2022

			12 Mayhew Road		5 Richa	<b>≀oad</b>	17 Miriam Road				
	Unit	Cost <sup>1</sup>	Qty		Cost	Qty		Cost	Qty		Cost
Clearing	SY	\$5	800	\$	4,000	400	\$	2,000	2,670	\$	13,350
Excavation	CY	\$20	185	\$	3,700	170	\$	3,400	805	\$	16,100
Bioretention Filter Media <sup>2</sup>	CY	\$70			-	95	\$	6,650	400	\$	28,000
Vegetation Establishment	SY	\$12	550	\$	6,600	200	\$	2,400	1,000	\$	12,000
Crushed Gravel for Drives & Walkways	CY	\$40	50	\$	2,000	20	\$	800	140	\$	5,600
Plantings	EA	\$100	115	\$	11,500	45	\$	4,500	210	\$	21,000
Drainage Pipe	LF	\$60	40	\$	2,400	90	\$	5,400	100	\$	6,000
Pipe End	EA	\$1,000		\$	-		\$	-	2	\$	2,000
Drainage Structures	EA	\$5,000	1	\$	5,000	2	\$	10,000	1	\$	5,000
Subtotal				\$	35,200		\$	35,150		\$	109,050
General Inflation (10%)				\$	3,520		\$	3,515		\$	10,905
Contingency (25%) AND Design (10%) <sup>3</sup>				\$	13,552		\$	13,533		\$	41,984
Total				\$	52,272		\$	52,198		\$	161,939

<sup>1</sup>Unit prices adapted from NHDOT Weighted Average Unit Prices 2021 and EPA Stormwater BMP Guidance Documents

<sup>2</sup>Assumes the average cost of "Granular Backfill: Sand" and "Sand" from NHDOT Unit Prices

<sup>3</sup>Contingency and design includes both the project subtotal and general inflation

### Pine Knoll Shores Drainage Study - Cost Estimation

Performed by:	DC	Date:
Checked by:	CMD	Date:

Rev. 4

12/15/2022

9/29/2022

10/3/2022



#### Cost Estimation by Phase

Page 3 of 3

		Phase 1 (Co	entral)	Phase 2A (	Phase 2B (	Sout	theast)	Phase 3 (Southwest)						
				Carter, Renni	Carter, Rennie (North)		Miriam, Shore (North), Arnold (North), Thomas		Mayhew, Longa (East), Rennie (South)			Shore (South), Longa (West), Richard, Donald		
		Unit	Cost <sup>1</sup>	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty		Cost	Qty	Cost		
ß	24' Roads	SY	50	4,000 \$	200,000	1,820 \$	91,000		\$	-	3,790 \$	189,500		
lent	16' Roads	SY	50	305 \$	15,250	3,700 \$	185,000	4,800	\$	240,000	1,780 \$	89,000		
/em	Swale	ft	40	\$	-	ç	<b>.</b> -	1,000	\$	40,000	\$	-		
/ing	12" Pipe	ft	60	640 \$	38,400	845 \$	50,700	450	\$	27,000	230 \$	13,800		
Pa/ Imp	18" Pipe	ft	80	\$	-	225 \$	18,000		\$	-	\$	-		
/ay ige	24" Pipe	ft	155	810 \$	125,550	ç,	<b>.</b> -	220	\$	34,100	\$	-		
adw aina	Stuctures <sup>2</sup>	ea	5,000	12 \$	60,000	13 \$	65,000	7	\$	35,000	3 \$	15,000		
Bo	Outfall	ea	10,000	1 \$	10,000	C .	; -		\$	-	\$	-		
Tree Box F	-ilters <sup>3</sup>	ea	30,000	5\$	150,000	C .	; -	1	\$	30,000	1 \$	30,000		
Hydrodyna	amic Separator <sup>3</sup>	ea	20,000	1 \$	20,000	C T	<b>.</b> -		\$	-	\$	-		
17 Miriam	Road Project	LS	1	\$	-	109,050 \$	5 109,050		\$	-	\$	-		
5 Richard	Road Project	LS	1	\$	-	ç	<b>.</b> -		\$	-	35,150 \$	35,150		
12 Mayhe	w Road Project	LS	1	\$	-	ç	<b>.</b> -	35,200	\$	35,200	\$	-		
Mobilizati	on	ea	5,000	1 \$	5,000	1 \$	5,000	1	\$	5,000	1 \$	5,000		
Subtotal				\$	624,200		523,750		\$	441,300	¢	377,450		
General Inflation (10%)		\$	62,420		52,375		\$	44,130	4,	37,745				
Contingen	cy (25%) AND Des	sign (10	%) <sup>4</sup>	¢,	240,317	c,	201,644		\$	169,901	4	5 145,318		
Total				\$	926,937	, c	777,769		\$	655,331		\$ 560,513		

<sup>1</sup>Unit prices adapted from NHDOT Weighted Average Unit Prices 2021 and EPA Stormwater BMP Guidance Documents

<sup>2</sup>Structures include inline manholes at pipe junctions and at a 300-foot spacing for maintenance access combined with offline catch basins at a 200-foot spacing (assumed) along the drainage alignment

<sup>3</sup>Approximate pricing provided by Contech Engineered Systems, Inc.

<sup>4</sup>Contingency and design includes both the project subtotal and general inflation

